1 (Pages 1 to 4)

```
1
                                                                    1 APPEARANCES:
                                                                    2 DANIEL B. BARROUKH, ESQ.
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                                                                      DEREK SMITH LAW GROUP, PLLC
                   SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA
                                                                    3 520 BRICKELL KEY DRIVE
                       MTAMT DIVISION
                                                                      SUITE 0-301
                       CASE NO.:1:22-cv-21004-DPG
                                                                    4 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131-2433
   JESSICA GUASTO.
                                                                      (786) 688-2335
            PLAINTIFF.
                                                                    5 DANIELB@DEREKSMITHLAW.COM
                                                                            COUNSEL APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF.
   VS.
   THE CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FL,
                                                                    8 MICHAEL L. ELKINS, ESQ.
   A FLORIDA MUNICIPALITY,
             DEFENDANT.
                                                                    9 1212 NORTHEAST 16TH TERRACE
                                                                      FORT LAUDERDALE, FLORIDA 33304
                                                                   10 (954) 401-2608
     DEPOSITION OF:
                               SGT. REGINALD LESTER
                                                                      MELKINS@MLELAWFIRM.COM
                                                                            COUNSEL APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT.
                                                                   11
                               MARCH 28, 2024
                                                                   12
                               12:14 P.M. - 1:50 P.M.
     TIME:
                                                                   13
                               VIA ZOOM REMOTE CONFERENCING
                                                                                             * * * * * * * * *
                                                                   14
     REPORTED BY:
                               TIMOFEY GARBUZ
                                                                                         STIPULATIONS
                                                                   15
                               COURT REPORTER
                                                                   16
                               NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF FLORIDA
                                                                   17
                                                                                 It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and
                                                                   18 between counsel for the respective parties, and the
                                                                   19 deponent, that the reading and signing of the deposition
                                                                   20 are hereby reserved.
                                                                   2.2
                                                                   23
                                                                   24
                                                                   25
                                                             3
                                                                                                                                 4
                           INDEX
                                                                                  PROCEEDINGS
                                                                     1
2 WITNESS
                                                          PAGE
                                                                     2
 3 SGT. REGINALD LESTER
                                                                      3
                                                                              THE REPORTER: I already got your appearances.
                                                                      4
                                                                              Mr. Lester, do you swear or affirm that the
   Direct Examination by Mr. Elkins
                                                                           statements you give in this matter shall be the truth,
                                                                      6
                                                                           the whole truth and nothing but the truth so help you
   Cross Examination by Mr. Barroukh
                                                           54
                                                                     7
                                                                           God?
                                                                     8
                                                                              THE WITNESS: Yes.
   Redirect Examination by Mr. Elkins
                                                           70
                                                                     9
                                                                              THE REPORTER: Thank you. You may proceed.
                        EXHIBITS
8
                                                                    10
                                                                                   SGT. REGINALD LESTER,
9
   DEPOSITION
                          DESCRIPTION
                                                          PAGE
                                                                    11 Having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
   Exhibit Number 1 Settlement Agreement
10
                                                           39
                                                                    12
                                                                                   DIRECT EXAMINATION
11
                                                                    13
                                                                       BY MR. ELKINS:
12
                                                                    14
                                                                           Q. Good afternoon, Sergeant Lester. My name's
13
                                                                        Michael Elkins, and I represent the City of Miami Beach in a
14
                                                                        lawsuit that's been filed against the City by Jessica
                                                                        Guasto, who you may know as Jessica Salabarria formerly.
17
                                                                    18
                                                                        And so, I subpoenaed you for this deposition.
18
                                                                    19
                                                                              I assume you did receive the subpoena obviously
19
                                                                    20
                                                                       because you're here, correct?
20
                                                                    21
                                                                           A. Yes, sir.
21
                                                                           Q. Okay. Have you ever been deposed in a civil case
22
                                                                    23 before? I presume you've been deposed in criminal cases
23
                                                                    24
24
                                                                    25
                                                                           A. I have not. I've been deposed in criminal cases.
25
```

(Pages 5 to 8)

8

1 I think in my 26-year career, this may be my first time in a civil case, thank God, hopefully, my last.

Q. Right. Well, certainly, there are no allegations against you. You're just a witness. And again, I represent 5 the City.

6 A. Right.

7 Q. But you are not a management sergeant, correct?

A. Yes, sir.

9 Q. So because you are not considered a management employee, I understand you're a supervisor, but below --

A. Yes. 11

8

12 Q. -- then you understand you are a non-management

13 employee, I had to subpoena you for this deposition?

14 A. Okay.

15 Q. Do you understand that?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Okay. So I'm going to go over just a few basic

18 ground rules, which will hopefully get help us get out of

here quickly for you.

20 A. Okay.

21 Q. The first rule is, we have a court reporter, Tim,

22 here taking down everything we say. But Tim cannot take

23 down two people talking at the same time. So it's very

important that we not talk over each other. So you may

anticipate my question and anticipate the answer and want to

answer quickly. And I understand that, but I ask that you

just hold your -- hold your tongue until I finish the

question, and then you can answer it and I won't -- I'll do

my best also to not interrupt you. Does that make sense?

6 Q. Also, because the court reporter is taking down what we say, you have to give audible answers. So nodding your head or shaking your head or ums and ahs are -- don't

work. You have to audibly answer the question. Do you

10 understand that?

A. I understand.

12 Q. If at any point in time you don't understand a 13 question or you need clarification, just ask me and I'll 14 clarify it, but if you answer the question, I'm going to

presume that you understood the question I asked. Okay?

16 A. Okay.

17 Q. Okay. You may from time to time hear opposing 18 counsel say what's called "objection to form." That's fine.

19 You still have to answer the question. Do you understand

20 that?

11

21 A. Yes.

22 O. Okav. Perfect.

23 So you are currently employed with City of Miami

24 Beach, correct?

25 A. Yes.

7

Q. How long have you been employed with the City?

A. In June -- on June 4th will be 20 years.

3 Q. And did you work in law enforcement prior to 4 working at the City?

A. Yes.

1

2

5

7

14

16

23

6 Q. Where did you work?

A. City of Hialeah Police Department.

8 Q. Okay. And then, I presume you went from Hialeah

9 to the beach?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. What is your current rank at the beach?

A. My current rank is Sergeant of police -- police

13 Sergeant, rather, I'm sorry.

Q. And when were you promoted to Sergeant?

15 A. I was promoted to Sergeant December 25th, 2018.

Q. Okay. And currently as a Sergeant, like, what is

17 -- what are your responsibilities?

18 A. Currently, my role as Sergeant, I currently

19 oversee the intelligence unit. We deal with classified

cases, we deal with hate crimes, we deal with dignitary 20

protection, we deal with a multitude of different things. 21

Q. What did you do before that? 22

A. Before that, I was assigned as a supervisor in the

24 special events -- in the special events unit. 25

Q. Okay. And then, before that?

1 A. Before that I was assigned to the criminal

investigation unit.

3 Q. Okay. Were you ever a supervisor on patrol, just 4 out of curiosity?

A. I was a supervisor on patrol when I first got

6 promoted for my probationary time, which was nine months, 7

Q. Okay. Perfect.

9 Are you a member of the Fraternal Order of Police,

10 Lodge 6?

8

11 A. I'm a proud member, yes, sir.

12 Q. I figured as much.

13 And can you explain the role of -14

Well, first of all, what is the FOP? Let's start

with that. 15

16 A. It's basically a -- the -- to break it down into

simple forms, it's a police union that obviously engages

with management and -- and ensure that the rights of

19 officers, rights and benefits, rather, of officers are --

20 are not being violated, are protected.

21 And then, we do have some sort of engagement at

times with City management, as well as management within the police department to plan and to partner, if you want to

say, staffing, of certain events. We have labor -- we have

labor management meetings on certain issues depending on

6

12

24

25

(Pages 9 to 12)

10

12

1 what the issues are. The union should be there or the union is there to work on behalf of the members, of the FOP for

the better good of the organization and the FOP.

Q. And in fact, you and I have been involved in labor management meetings together in the past, correct?

A. Yes, we have.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

24

1

2

3

8

9

10

11 12

13

Q. Okay. And would it be fair to say that the FOP as -- as the union and the representative of the members of the bargaining unit at the City of Miami Beach, has a lot of different functions. It's multifaceted, but one of the main functions is to protect the rights of its membership when it comes to disciplinary issues, like termination, suspensions, write-ups, all those types of things; is that fair to say?

MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

15 BY MR. ELKINS:

Q. You can answer.

MR. BARROUKH: You can answer.

18 THE WITNESS: It's -- it's partially fair to say

19 depending on the circumstances. The reason why I would

20 say that is that there are certain issues of such, of

21 like this case, where the union itself wouldn't

22 necessarily navigate certain parts of a grievance or

23 disciplinary hearing. There would be an attorney,

someone who actually practices law and understands

25 things on a better multitude, not that we don't 1 understand, but someone who is in the weeds every day

2 with these types of issues.

3 So there are -- there are times that the union do

yield to -- to our counsel taking responsibility to

5 handling certain situations, sir.

BY MR. ELKINS:

7 Q. Absolutely. And so, let me clarify the question.

Part of representing -- part of the union role in

representing and advocating for the rights of its membership

is that the union actually has an attorney that it basically

11 has on staff to represent membership, correct?

A. Yes.

13 Q. That attorney is Eugene Gibbons; am I right?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And oftentimes, Mr. Gibbons will get involved in

16 cases involving disciplines, terminations, or any kind of

17 issue where there may be a problem or a concern with an 18

employee's rights, correct? 19

A. Yes.

20 Q. Okay. And that's part of what the union offers

21 its membership in the context of advocating for and

22 protecting employee rights, correct?

23 A. Yes. Yes.

Q. Okay. That's what I was getting at.

And what's your current position with the union?

11

A. I'm currently the First Vice President.

Q. Okay. And how long have you been in that role?

A. Just got in that role, I think it was twenty

twenty -- 2023, if I'm not mistaken. Yeah, 2023 was when we 4

was sworn in, if my -- if my recollection is correct. 5

6 Q. And then, prior to that, were you the Second Vice 7 President?

A. Yes, I was the Second Vice President.

Q. Okay. So explain to me what your duties were as Second Vice President. What was your -- what did that

A. Well, basically, Second Vice President you have somewhat the same duties, not on a daily basis, but when

needed. You may have to stand -- stand in for the President 15 when the President is not available for meetings, or you may

16 have to partake in labor management and some of the other

meetings that come up on behalf of the union. It's no

18 different than any other vice president role in any other

19 organization. You are the second in command -- I'm sorry --

20 you are the third in command if you're Second Vice

President -- I'm sorry -- because you have President, you

have First Vice President, and then, you have the Second

23 Vice President.

24 So on certain issues, you are -- if you're called

as Second Vice President, you'll be there -- usually -- it

doesn't happen that much, but you will be there as if you

was the President to fill the role of the presidency.

Q. And did you also participate in the grievance

meetings and meetings with management about maybe grievances

or discipline or potential discipline and things like that?

6 A. You do. But it all depends. Usually, the second

-- it all depends on the -- on the availability of the

President. It also depends on if the President includes

you. There is nothing written in stone that the President

10 has to include you in -- in those type of sessions.

11 But it varies. I'll be honest with you. It

varies from issue to issue. Unfortunately, there's nothing,

no structure of how, you know, how -- what type of events or

what type of meetings you will sit in on. Sometime it may

not be a President, or it may be a Trustee or it may be a

16 committee chair. So it varies depending on the issues.

17

Q. Right. And I wasn't -- let me clarify. I wasn't

asking if you're required to be in those meetings. I was

asking if you personally in your role, did you have occasion

to be part of meetings involving potential discipline of

21 employees, in general, as a union member?

22 A. In general, yes. In general, I've sat in on some

23 of those meetings, yes, not all of them, but some of them,

24 25

Q. Understood. And that's certainly not unusual,

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

14

16

13

1 correct?

3

7

8

10

17

2 A. No, that's not unusual.

Q. In fact, it's -- it is required that if there's going to be a meeting regarding employee discipline, that the employee have a member of the union present, correct?

A. Yes, they're entitled to representation.

Q. Okay. Perfect.

Are you familiar with Jessica Guasto, now

9 Salabarria, formerly Guasto?

A. I am familiar with her.

Q. Okay. What's the basis of your familiarity?

12 A. A co-worker. I know that she was a Sergeant in

13 our organization. Obviously, in this particular situation,

14 I do remember attending a meeting. I don't know how much --

 $5\,\,$ you know, depending on your questions, how much I know as to

16 what is going to be asked of me.

I don't know much about her. I know that she was

obviously married to Nick Guasto, who is an associate of mine that I know. That's pretty -- that's pretty much it.

20 I've never had dinner with her or never hung out with her

21 outside of work or, you know, we are not friendly in terms

22 of that level, in terms of, you know, that type of

23 friendship.

I'm kind of like a guarded guy. I kind of put my,

25 you know -- respectfully, I put relationships in the

1 proper -- I like to call it the proper files. And, you

2 know, the only thing I know is we had a professional work

3 relationship -- that's it -- when need be, you know, when

4 issues arise. That's it.

Q. Did you ever have occasion to supervise her?

A. No. I never supervised her. She -- she actually

7 got promoted -- excuse me. She actually got promoted on the

 $8 \hspace{0.1in}$ same list that I got promoted from, if I'm not mistaken.

9 But she scored higher than I did, so I wouldn't have

10 supervised her.

6

11

15

Q. Got it.

12 Did you talk to anybody about this deposition

13 before coming here today?

14 A. No.

Q. So you didn't talk to Nick?

16 A. I don't think I -- oh, let me correct that. I

17 think I told him that I had a deposition, and I didn't know

18 where it came from because it kind of came out of nowhere.

19 And we didn't have much further conversation about her or

20 obviously, about the incident because obviously, Nick has

21 moved on with his life. You know?

Q. Did you tell Nick it was in relation to his now ex-wife's lawsuit against the City?

A. I don't remember the exact wording of what I said

25 to Nick, but I did have a conversation with Nick.

15

...

Q. But you generally told him, hey, I'm -- generally

speaking -- I'm not saying this is the exact words you
 said -- look, I'm being deposed in Jessica's lawsuit against

4 the City?

1

5

7

9

MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

6 BY MR. ELKINS:

Q. You can answer.

8 A. Repeat the question.

Q. I'm not trying to hold you to your exact words.

10 What I'm asking is: Did you tell Nick, whatever words you

11 used, that you were being deposed in his ex-wife's lawsuit

2 against the City of Miami Beach?

13 MR. BARROUKH: Objection to form.

14 BY MR. ELKINS:

15 Q. You can answer.

16 A. Yeah. I -- I told him what I just said earlier.

17 I told him that I had gotten a depo. I didn't know where it

18 came from. And there was not a followup lengthy

19 conversation about it, because as I said, Nick has moved on,

 $2\,\mathrm{0}^{}$ and he doesn't necessarily want to hear much about Jessica.

21 So, you know, obviously, I respect that. And we moved on.

Q. What did he say, though, if anything, when you told him that you were being deposed in this case?

A. I can't recall what he said. I can't recall what

25 he said.

Q. It was not anything memorable, I take it?

2 A. It may have been, but I can't recall. I don't

3 know --

1

4 **O.** Okav.

5 A. -- to be honest with you. I didn't -- I didn't

record my conversation or take tabs on what me and Nick

7 talked about in terms of when I let him know. It was -- it

8 was -- like I said, the reason why I did it was because it

9 was very, you know, some time period has went by, I had

10 heard that other people had been depo'd. And it was just

11 kind of weird out of nowhere that I was being depo'd or

being subpoenaed, rather, not depo'd, being subpoenaed --

13 **O.** Yeah.

14

16

18

23

A. -- about the situation. That's it.

15 Q. Okay. Fair enough.

And other than Nick, you didn't talk to anybody

17 else about it?

A. No.

19 Q. Okay. Perfect.

20 Are you familiar with Jessica's claim against the

21 City?

22 A. No, I'm not.

Q. Okay. So Jessica has sued the City and she is

24 alleging that her termination or her separation from

employment that occurred in January of 2021 is retaliatory

5

6

7

8

17

19

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

18

20

17

1 and in retaliation for her filing an EEOC charge of

2 discrimination in June or July of 2020. Do you understand

3 that?

4

10

A. I understand.

Q. Okay. Now, you were part of -- correct me if I'm
 wrong -- a meeting that took place on January 19th, 2021

7 with the Chief of Police -- I think Wayne Jones was there,

8 A.J., Steven Cosner, Paul Ozaeta, Arley Flaherty, and Delvin

9 Brown. Do you recall that meeting?

A. I recall that meeting in the Chief's office, yes.

Q. Okay. Prior to that meeting, did you have any involvement in any grievances or discipline or anythin

involvement in any grievances or discipline or anything

13 related to Jessica?

14 A. Yes, not a grievance, but I think, if I'm correct,

prior to that meeting or its -- this thing is so cloudy to

16 me -- there was at some point Jessica was relieved of duty.

I can't remember if it was reference to the same incident of

18 which she -- of the reason why we was in the Chief's office

19 for the meeting.

20 But I remember responding to Internal Affairs for

21 them at some point. I remember going to Internal Affairs so

they can inventory her vehicle, her marked unit, rather.

23 And then, I also remember going to the station so they can

24 clean out her locker.

25 And like I said, I think, from what I recall, it

1 was reference -- it was reference to something, man. I

2 can't recall if it was this or if it was an investigation

3 that had happened prior.

Q. Fair enough.

Do you happen to recall maybe what year that --

A. No, I don't recall, man. I don't --

Q. Fair enough. And I don't want you to guess.

So other than being what it sounds like working

9 with Internal Affairs, and then being present when they

 $1\,\mathrm{0}$ inventoried her vehicle and cleaned out her locker, did you

11 have any other involvement in any of Jessica's multiple, you

12 know, former EEOC charges, grievances, disciplinary issues,

13 anything like that prior to this meeting?

A. No. No, not that I recall. That's the only thing

15 that I've ever been involved in.

16 Q. Fair enough.

How is it that you came to be at this meeting on

18 January 19th, 2021?

A. If I recall correctly, I think I was notified by

20 Paul Ozaeta to attend the meeting because Paul Ozaeta, if

21 I'm correct, had gotten sick. I don't think he personally

22 was there at the meeting. I think he was on Zoom.

23 **O. Did he --**

24 A. And -- I'm sorry?

Q. He attended -- he just attended by Zoom?

19

A. Yeah. I'm going through it, right? So he was

2 there on Zoom. I think he notified me that there would be a

meeting, if I'm not -- if I'm correct, rather, and I

4 attended the meeting in person. I was not -- the next

person in command of the structure of the union was Arley

6 Flaherty, but I was put in the position to go to the meeting

7 as well.

1

11

23

8 And so, I attended the meeting obviously at the 9 request of Paul Ozaeta saying I need to be there. And that

10 was the reason.

Q. And at the time, Paul Ozaeta was the FOP

12 President, correct?

13 A. Yes, he was.

Q. And in terms of the FOP, also present at the

15 meeting I think you mentioned was Arley Flaherty, who was

6 the FOP First Vice President, you were the Second Vice

President, and then, Delvin Brown, who was a then

18 Lieutenant, now Captain I think, who was the FOP Grievance

19 Chairman. Correct?

20 A. I don't recall. I'm not saying that he wasn't

21 there. I actually -- let me think, because -- yeah, you're

22 right. You're right. You're right. Yes.

Q. So at this meeting, with the Chief of Police, Rick

4 Clements, at the time, and Wayne Jones, the Deputy Chief,

and Steven Cosner, Lieutenant, and A.J. Prieto, the Captain

1 of Internal Affairs, Jessica was represented by not one, but

2 four FOP members, correct?

A. Yes. But for myself, I think when Paul called me,

4 I think I got called because there was some trust issues

5 with Jessica and Arley Flaherty, so I got called as kind of

6 like the lead person. And my question to that was: I

7 reached out to Paul and I requested because of the totality

8 of the circumstances, and, you know, this being one of those

9 complicated situations of past history of this whole thing,

10 that I had asked that the union President -- I'm sorry --

11 the union attorney be allowed to attend the meeting or be

12 the one that should attend the meeting as the lead. And

13 that request at some point was denied through the Chief's

14 office. And the meeting had to take place. So that's how I

15 got involved.

16

17

25

Q. Who did -- who did you make that request to? Paul?

18 A. That request -- that request was made -- that

19 request was made through my conversation when I was told

20 that I needed to go to this meeting. And I even think that

21 Jessica even made that request. And -- and when that

22 request came back down, they said, no, the meeting has to

23 take place. And that's when, like I said, I had -- I had to

24 attend the meeting.

Q. But who did you specifically make that request to?

(Pages 21 to 24)

22

24

21

1 A. I think when I -- when I initially was told about the meeting, I -- I think that I had conversation with -with -- obviously, with Paul, if I'm not mistaken. I don't know if there's any documentation of emails of it, not with me and Paul, but documentation through the Chief's office to say that that was the scenario.

But I do remember that was a conversation as it --8 as it pertains to the representative of the -- the actual 9 attorney of the union being present for the meeting. And 10 they denied it.

11 Q. No, I understand that. But I just want to lock 12 down here who you made the request to. Did you make it to 13 Paul Ozaeta?

14 A. If I -- if I recall, I think I may have made the request -- or, like I said, I know Paul was -- was out sick, 16 so I don't remember -- I may have made the request to Paul.

17 I don't know -- to be honest with you, three years 18 later, I don't know. It would be unfair to me to say that I did make the request or ask the Chief about it. You know? But I do recall that someone gave me the answer to say that 21 it was denied and that the meeting needed to take place.

22 O. Who was that someone?

23 A. I can't recall at this time, sir.

Q. Okay. That's fine. 24

25 A. It's unfair.

denied?

6

7

8

9

Q. No, no. You can only remember what you can remember. It's -- it was a long time ago.

Would it be considered odd that the President of the FOP and the First Vice President and the Grievance Chairman would let the meeting go forward if there was a request for the union lawyer to be there that was denied?

A. I don't know if the First Vice President was made aware of that. I was told that initially she was not notified through the union about the meeting, because like I said, there was some trust issues there with -- of concern 11 with Jessica.

And so, obviously, as a union, what we try to do

13 is, even though, you know, it's a -- it's a internal issue, we try to make sure that members feel comfortable with 14 whoever's going to represent them. If there's past history 16 or whatever it may be, you know, whatever the issue may be, 17 we try to resolve it without going to the meeting and 18 causing something to be worse by the person feeling like 19 they didn't get proper representation.

20 So I don't -- I'm not for sure how -- you would 21 have to ask her. I'm not for sure how Arley was notified about the meeting. But I was notified through Paul. And it was my understanding that I was the one that was at the 23 24 forefront of the meeting.

25 Q. Right. But my question was: Would it be unusual

23

1 that given all of this FOP leadership in the meeting, the

how things work, we know policies, we know procedures but we don't practice law. You know? And there are certain things

as a -- per -- per the policy, there are certain things that

5 cannot do. You know? And that's just -- that's the way it

6 is. 7

MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form. BY MR. ELKINS:

a request to have a lawyer there and that request was

President, you, the Arley, the First Vice President, you,

the Second Vice President and the Grievance Chair, that the

FOP would allow the meeting to even go forward if there was

Q. You can answer.

10 A. I can't -- I can't state that that is true only

because I don't know -- I can't testify to what was said to 11

President Ozaeta. And I can't testify to other things that

other people may have had a part in. Like, I don't know, you have to ask, you know, the Grievance Chair, who is now 14

15 our Captain, that question.

16 Like I said, I personally brought up the issue and I think Jessica brought up the issue about an attorney being present. You know, on these type of issues I think it's to

19 betterment of everybody. It's to the betterment of the

20 member. It's to the betterment of the Chief's office. And

it's to the betterment of the City that at the caliber of

case it was, that an attorney be present. Right? 23 If we go to Internal Affairs on a serious case, we

don't go there with a FOP rep. Yes, FOP reps are

knowledgeable. We have a lot of institutional knowledge in

an attorney can do that an actual person who is just a rep

Q. Why didn't you stop the meeting? 8 A. I was told that the meeting was going to take 9 place, so I showed up to the meeting.

Q. Who told you that?

10 11 A. I was notified by Paul that I -- that the meeting

attorney present. I mean, they was not going to wait. I 13

was going to take place and that it was not going to have an

think there was a conflict in Gene's schedule or something. 14

15 And they was going to -- they wasn't going to wait, that the

16 meeting was going to take place, so I showed up to the 17 meeting, sir.

18

Q. And Paul Ozaeta told you that?

19 A. Like I said, I think Paul Ozaeta told me that and

20 I -- I am not sure if there was a followup conversation with

Chief Clements as well about that, to be fair. 21

22 O. Okav. Why, though, if you believed an attorney

23 should be present, did you, as the then Second Vice

President, not stop the meeting and say, hey, there's no

lawyer here, this can't go forward? Why didn't you do that?

(Pages 25 to 28)

26

28

25

1 A. Well, to be honest with you, I didn't know what type of meeting it was going to be until, you know, in terms of stopping it like that until, like I said, I want to say it was more a request of Jessica that her attorney be present. Right?

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

21

22

3

4

5

6

16

So I was just kind of, like, pushed in this at the last minute and wasn't involved in any sort other than, you know, the things that I told you about. So it was kind of like you get thrown in the battle. You know, I showed up to the meeting and -- and, you know, obviously, the rest is history, sir. I don't know what to tell you.

Q. When you say that Jessica made the request, did she make that request to the union before the meeting; is that what you're referring to?

15 A. I don't -- I don't know who she made the request to, to be honest. I don't know if she made it to the union. I don't know if she made it directly to the Chief. I don't know if she made it directly to the City. You would have to ask her that. And there would have to be some sort of documentation to support that, obviously.

Q. How do you know she made the request at all if you don't know who she made it to or when she made it?

23 A. I told you I think that there was a conversation 24 as it pertains to that, that that's what occurred. But like you said, maybe it didn't occur. I don't know.

1 Q. I know you're saying you think there was a conversation. That's fine. But I'm asking you: Do you know who that conversation was between and if --

A. No. sir.

O. -- what people? Okay. The answer is "no"?

A. No.

4 5

6

9

10

11

12

13

7 Q. During the meeting itself, during the actual 8 meeting -- well, actually let me back up.

Did you arrive at the Chief's office where the meeting took place before the meeting, like, before Jessica?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you have any conversations with the Chief or Wayne or Cosner or A.J. before the meeting started?

14 A. I didn't have any conversation with A.J. I 15 remember there was some conversation with the Chief in his 16 office. I think for that conversation, I think the

17 Grievance Chair was there, I think. I think Arley was

there. And I'm not for sure, I think Cosner came in at some

point. I'm not for sure if he was there for the whole

conversation, but he did come in at some point. I do

21 remember him coming in.

22 O. Do you remember what that conversation was?

23 A. In terms of who?

24 Q. Anybody. You were in this -- you were in this I

guess what would be like a pre-meeting before Jessica

27

arrived, before the official meeting started. I'm asking what were you guys talking about before she got there, if vou remember?

A. The only thing -- the only thing I can tell you is that I remember my concern as a representative of the union was the allegations of why that meeting was called was because Lieutenant Cosner allegedly, or however you want to

7 put it, said -- wrote -- he -- there was a write-up on

Jessica because Jessica had worked overtime shift, and that

10 night in particular Jessica was at some point identified not

11 to be in the area where she was supposed to be supervising

officers. And she was at the station, if I recall

correctly. And there was some back and forth about that. 13

14 Cosner spoke on it briefly to the Chief. I don't remember

15 exactly what he said.

> My -- I don't know if Cosner was in the room or if he was out of the room. I think we actually was in the room with everybody, and then we left the room. And then, we

19 came back in the room where it was just me and the Chief. 20 And I want to say Cosner wasn't in there. Arley Flaherty --

21 and I can't recall if -- if Captain Brown was present for

that conversation. But I remember at some point, whether it

23 was initially, I don't think it was when Cosner was in the 24 room out of due respect, to not get into a back and forth.

But I do -- I did say to the Chief, that I felt that

termination for that incident did not meet the -- that the violation, rather, did not meet the grounds for termination

for that incident. There was a conversation about that.

And I was told that it wasn't just possible termination for

that incident, it was because of a Last Chance Agreement

that was signed by Jessica. That's what I was told.

I respectfully, right, within the right parameters not compiling everything because I wasn't there, I wasn't 9 called in that meeting for everything that had happened. I 10 was called in that meeting to deal with the situation that 11 happened between Jessica and Cosner.

allegations where Jessica had said something had happened 13 14 between her and Cosner or Cosner was after her because she 15 turned him down. You know, Cosner said that wasn't true. 16 And that the bottom line was, is that he felt that his 17 write-up was appropriate to the violations of what Jessica 18

There was also some conversation in the room about

had did that night. 19 And I -- like I said, I still -- still disagree 20 that if we was just there for that meeting to talk about the 21 write-up, that the actual discipline for that write-up still 22 did not meet -- meet the grounds for termination.

23 And I was just -- that was the point that I provided. I can't tell you exactly what everybody else said, Mr. Elkins. You're on mute. You're on mute.

12

4

5

(Pages 29 to 32)

30

32

29

Q. You think I would have that figured out by now. First of all, you were told that Jessica was on a Last Chance Agreement, correct?

A. I was told that after I brought up my point.

O. I understand. I'm not trying to implicate that your point was wrong or trap you. I'm just trying to make sure I lay down what you knew at the time you made that prior to -- before when you said this incident doesn't meet the grounds for termination, what you were referring to I think was that under the just cause standard, which is articulated in the Collective Bargaining Agreement, it wouldn't meet the standard for termination; is that right?

MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

BY MR. ELKINS: 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

24

25

the issue.

Q. Let's --

15 O. You can answer.

16 A. Yes. I think you're on point in terms of your 17 explanation. You know, I think more serious than that, 18 obviously like I said, the write-up did not meet the grounds for termination. And the problem that I had was -- was that we was there to discuss the write-up. Right? We wasn't there to discuss any Last Chance Agreement. That was not 21 the predetermination hearing part of that. We was there to 23 only discuss that write-up. So that was why I brought up

1 A. That was not -- that was not a predetermination hearing that I recall.

Q. That's true. But let's step back, Mr. Lester.

A. Yes, sir.

Q. For a moment. Sergeant --

6 A. Yes, sir.

7 Q. -- you are familiar with what a Last Chance

8 Agreement is, correct?

9 A. I was not familiar with the terms of Jessica 10 Salabarria's Last Chance Agreement. I was not familiar with

it. I know what the terminology means, correct, I'm not --

I'm not blind to that or anything, but I was -- I did not go

and do my research, like I said, because I wasn't there -- I

was never involved in this whole situation when the Last

15 Chance Agreement was done, which was with an attorney -- I

wasn't involved under that Last Chance Agreement. And so,

my part -- my part of being there was to address the issue

of what happened some months prior. Because the meeting was

-- the meeting was scheduled pretty quick, if I'm not

mistaken, sometime prior to the incident happening. I was

there to address that. So I was only there dealing with

that. You can't -- you can't pull other stuff into this

23 meeting because it's unfair to me.

24 Q. Mr. Lester, I'm not -- I'm not trying to do that.

Listen to my question. It's very simple: Generally

speaking, do you know what a Last Chance Agreement is? I'm

termination, you did not know that Jessica had a Last Chance

Agreement, correct?

3 A. I was told -- I was told by the Chief in

4 conversation.

7

16

5 O. Understood.

6 And have you ever read that Last Chance Agreement?

A. No, I haven't.

Q. Okay. I will represent to you that Jessica's Last

9 Chance Agreement signed well before the meeting that you

10 attended effectively makes her an employee at-will. She

gave up her rights under the Collective Bargaining 11

Agreement. And the agreement allowed the Chief to terminate

13 her for just about any reason without the requirement for a

predetermination hearing. Do you understand that? 14

15 A. Yes, sir.

MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

17 BY MR. ELKINS:

18 Q. Okay. So when you say, well, we weren't here to 19 talk about the Last Chance Agreement and this wasn't a

20 predetermination hearing, you understand that once an

employee, not just Jessica, but any employee, once they sign a Last Chance Agreement, any potential discipline that comes

23 after that obviously relates to the Last Chance Agreement?

24 MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

25 BY MR. ELKINS:

31

not talking about Jessica. Generally speaking, as a member of the FOP, as the now First Vice President and the then Second Vice President and someone who's dealt with labor and management relation issues and is a 20-plus year police officer, do you generally understand or know what a Last 6

7 **Chance Agreement is?**

8 A. Yes.

9

MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

10 BY MR. ELKINS:

Q. You can answer. Is your answer "yes"? 11

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Okay. And you understand that generally

speaking -- we're not talking about Jessica -- a Last Chance 14

15 Agreement oftentimes contains a provision that says that the

16 employee can be fired without a predetermination hearing,

without their rights under the Collective Bargaining

18 Agreement. They effectively give up those rights in

exchange for being given a last chance. Are you familiar

20 with that concept?

21 MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

22 THE WITNESS: Yes.

23 BY MR. ELKINS:

24 Q. Okay. And I understand at the time you were saying that the write-up didn't meet the grounds for

(Pages 33 to 36)

34

33

O. You can answer.

1

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

1

5

7

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 A. Yeah, I think you was making a statement and 3 wasn't asking a question.

Q. No, I'm asking a question. Do you understand that once any employee signs a Last Chance Agreement, any potential discipline that comes after that will obviously implicate the Last Chance Agreement because they've generally given up their rights under the CBA?

MR. BARROUKH: Objection to form. You're testifying right now.

MR. ELKINS: No, I'm not. Actually, I'm asking if he understands that. And he's not your client.

MR. BARROUKH: You can ask -- you can ask him a question, but you cannot tell him what to say, please.

MR. ELKINS: I'm not telling him what to say. I'm asking him if he understands it. And stop making speaking objections or I'll go to the judge and he can tell you. You can object to form and leave it at that.

19 BY MR. ELKINS:

O. You can answer.

A. You've kind of got me all over the place, because 21

22 you talk about Jessica and you talk about other employees.

23 Like, are we going to stick to Jessica or are we going to

stick to other employees? Because my answer to that is when

you start talking about other employees, then my whole

response to that is then why even have that type of meeting? 2 Right?

3 So if they are on the Last Chance Agreement and they're at-will, then for other employees, right, for other employees, then there's no need to have that meeting. You 6 know?

Q. I'm not talking about --

7 8 A. There's a Last Chance Agreement. So I'm just 9 telling you that I -- I only dealt with the incident on my mindset. And maybe it was the wrong mindset. Shame on me. 11 Or maybe it was the fact that I was called in this meeting 12 and pushed in the meeting at the last minute. Okay? But I 13 was dealing with the issue at hand. That's what I thought I 14 was going to that meeting and deal with, the issue at hand. 15

Obviously, it's a complicated situation to your point because there is a Last Chance Agreement. And I do understand the Last Chance Agreement. And I did not review the, you know, the language of the Last Chance Agreement because at the end of the day, I didn't think that it was a predetermination hearing, sort of type thing. And if I'm correct, at some point after the original meeting, this meeting that you're talking about, there was another meeting

where that was grounds for the type of conversation. Q. That's incorrect, but anyway. You're incorrect on that point.

35

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

But nonetheless, you understand, though, if 2 Jessica had a Last Chance Agreement, which she did, and her Last Chance Agreement said that she was an employee at-will, she basically waived her rights to a predetermination hearing; do you understand that?

A. Yes. 6

MR. BARROUKH: Objection to form.

8 BY MR. ELKINS:

Q. Okay. So at the time that you were advocating and 10 talking about a predetermination hearing, you understand that that analysis -- or you would agree with me that that analysis changes when there's a Last Chance Agreement?

13 MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

> THE WITNESS: If -- yeah, it changes, but it also changes in terms of the union in terms of how we deal with that.

And so to your point, because it was a Last Chance Agreement, to me, you know, then there shouldn't be -there shouldn't have been a denial of an attorney to be present. You know?

At the end of the day, you know, because I didn't know -- I didn't -- there was an attorney that deal with that Last Chance Agreement. And if you're going to say that the Last Chance Agreement was a part of

what was highlighted, which is true, all your -- all

36

1 your take on that is true, because I wasn't the person 2 that dealt with that part, then the FOP itself, the

3 union President, I don't know -- you know, I don't want

4 to speak out of turn, but I don't know if the union

5 President had to sign the Last Chance Agreement.

6 But that -- that Last Chance Agreement was not --7 was not negotiated or settled by -- it may have the

8 title of the FOP at some point on it out of formality,

9 but there was an attorney, which I think was Gene that

10 was involved or someone. If not, then it was just

11 herself that was involved in that Last Chance

12 Agreement. And I have no knowledge of that.

13 BY MR. ELKINS:

14 Q. Understood. And I'm going to show you the Last 15 Chance Agreement here in a minute.

A. Okay.

16

17 Q. But the denial of the attorney -- let me ask it 18 this way: Did Chief Clements ever tell you directly she 19 couldn't have an attorney there?

20 A. I can't recall if Chief Clements told me that 21 directly. I can't, to be honest, to be fair.

22 Q. That's fine. Did A.J. Prieto ever tell you that 23 she could not have an attorney there?

24 A. I never had any conversation with A.J. Prieto.

25 Q. Did Wayne Jones ever tell you she could not have

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

37 38 1 an attorney there? 1 THE WITNESS: Perfect. 2 A. No, I never had any conversation with Wayne Jones. MR. ELKINS: Unless Daniel's going to keep you, 3 Q. Okay. And sitting here today, you don't remember 3 but I don't see that happening. 4 who it was that told you she could not have an attorney THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. 5 MR. ELKINS: Daniel, do you need a lunch break? 6 6 A. I don't know. The only two people that it would MR. BARROUKH: If we could take 15 minutes at some 7 7 have been was either Paul Ozaeta or Chief Richard Clements. point, unless you don't think it's going for another And to be fair, I can't say to be exact who. But there was 8 hour, but -a conversation about it. 9 MR. ELKINS: I don't -- I don't have that much 10 10 MR. ELKINS: Okay. Let's take a three-minute more for him, so unless you plan -break so I can grab a document and we'll come right 11 MR. BARROUKH: Okay. Well, you had this scheduled 11 12 12 until 3:00 P.M, so I wasn't sure what you -- how much 13 longer you wanted and I didn't want to rush you either. 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 14 14 (Recess was taken.) MR. ELKINS: I appreciate that. We are getting 15 MR. BARROUKH: Michael, are you thinking you're 15 close. He's answering the questions. 16 going to need a lunch break at any point? 16 MR. BARROUKH: Okay. 17 MR. ELKINS: No. 17 MR. ELKINS: So yeah, we'll see where we are in 18 MR. BARROUKH: Okay. 18 around 30 minutes or so. I'm going to probably have 19 THE WITNESS: We're not going to go that long, 19 another hour. 20 Michael? 20 MR. BARROUKH: Okay. So then -- so then how about 21 this: Can we take a 15-minute break at 1 P.M.? 21 MR. ELKINS: What's that, Sergeant? 22 22 MR. ELKINS: Okay. Perfect. Thank you. THE WITNESS: I said if we're not going to need a 23 lunch break, that means we're not going to go that 23 BY MR. ELKINS: 24 long? 24 Q. Okay. Sergeant, I'm going to show you -- I've got 25 MR. ELKINS: That's exactly what that means. to find the -- one second. Okay. All right. I'm showing 39 40 1 you what I'm marking as Exhibit 1. Do you see this 1 Q. Okay. And you can -- you see this is initialed on document? each page, right? 3 A. Yes, sir. 3 A. Yes, sir. 4 Q. There's an initial for the union here, correct? (Deposition Exhibit Number 1 marked for 4 identification.) 5 A. Yes, sir. BY MR. ELKINS: 6 Q. I'll represent to you that that is also Kevin 6 7 Q. Okay. I will represent to you here at the top of 7 Milan's signature; do you understand that? this document it says: "Settlement Agreement" that's 8 A. Yes. entered into between the City, Jessica and the FOP. Do you 9 Q. And I'm going to scroll down. Can you see here on 10 see that? 10 the Last Chance Agreement, Kevin Milan signed as the 11 A. Yes. President of the FOP; do you understand that? 11 Q. On the --12 12 A. Yes, I do. 13 A. Uh-huh. 13 Q. Does that change your mind as to whether or not Q. Okay. Great. I'm going to scroll down. You see 14 14 the union was party to or part of Jessica's Last Chance these signatures at the bottom on each page? 15 15 Agreement? A. Yes. 16 16 A. I never -- I never made that statement. 17 Q. Okay. I'm going to scroll to the bottom. 17 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that the union is a 18 And I'll represent to you you'll see Kevin Milan 18 party to and part of this Settlement Agreement and Last 19 signed this Settlement Agreement on behalf of the FOP; do 19 Chance Agreement given that the President signed it? 20 vou see that? 20 A. I would agree. 21 A. Correct, yes, sir. 21 Q. Now, you see here where it says in Paragraph 4: 22 Q. Okay. And part of the Settlement Agreement is the "The Chief of police shall exclusively assess and determine 23 Last Chance Agreement, which as you can see here, is between employee's compliance with this agreement." Do you see 23 24 the City, the FOP and Jessica; do you see that? 24 that? 25 A. Yes, I do. 25 A. Yes.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

42

44

41

- Q. Let's let me back up for one moment. And you see the date on this agreement is December 23rd, 2020, right?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. That's before Lieutenant Cosner's allegations, 6 correct?
- 7 A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Well, Lieutenant Cosner's allegations were from
 December 27th. So December 27th is after December 23rd,
 correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And your meeting occurred in January of 2021, 13 correct?
- 14 A. I would take your word, yes.
- 15 Q. January 19th, I think, right?
- 16 A. I'll take your word. I don't -- I don't recall
- 17 exactly what day, sir. I'll take your word.
- 18 Q. Fair enough.
- 19 We could agree the meeting and the allegations
- 20 from Cosner all came after Jessica and the union and the
- 21 City all entered into this Last Chance Agreement, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And you understand or I'm going to show you
 - 4 here it says: "The Chief of police shall exclusively assess
- and determine employee's compliance with this agreement."

- 1 Do you see that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. It says: "The Chief's decision as to compliance with this agreement shall not be subject to any grievance
- and/or review of any kind by Salabarria and/or the union and
- is not subject to explanation or review." Do you see that?
- A. I do see that. And you're making my point, sir.
- 8 I'm not -- my involvement -- you don't see my signature on 9 any of that documents. Okay? You don't see my name on any
- of those documents. Kevin Milan was the President when
- 11 those documents happened.
- 12 I didn't bring up the Last Chance Agreement other
- 13 than the fact that I said that Chief Clements told me that
- 14 she was on the Last Chance Agreement. I admitted to that.
- $15\,\,$ I could have not told you that, but he told me that. So I'm
- 16 going to be honest. I swore. I'm going to be honest that
- 17 he told me that.
- So to your point, all I said was if she was on the
- 19 Last Chance Agreement, right, I got called into this not to
- 20 deal with any Last Chance Agreement situations, I got called
- in this to deal with the situation at hand. And that's all
- 22 I could testify to. I can't testify to all this other
- 23 stuff. So don't quote me more in. I'm in -- I'm in deep
- 24 enough as it is. You know? And I don't mind taking, you
- 25 know, my bruises where I need to take them at. But I'm not

43

- 1 debating anything in Last Chance Agreement, so don't --
- 2 don't put it on the record as if I'm debating it. I'm not
- 3 debating anything there.
- Q. I just want to make sure that you understand,
 because you were mentioning predetermination hearings and
 whether or not it was grounds for termination earlier in
 your testimony. And I wanted to make sure you understood
- 8 what the Last Chance Agreement said and the parameters of 9 it.
- 9 it.

16

- 10 A. That's fair. But I -- once again, like I said, I
- 11 was never told what type of meeting this was. So to your
- 12 point, I wasn't told that it was a predetermination hearing.
- 13 I was told that it was a meeting because of a write-up that
- 14 had happened to get more explanation. That's all I'm
- 15 telling you.
 - Q. Do you understand, though, or do you agree with that the fact that there is a Last Chance Agreement means
- 18 there does not have to be a predetermination hearing --
- 19 A. I agree with that.
- 20 MR. BARROUKH: Objection to form.
- 21 BY MR. ELKINS:
- Q. Okay. That's what I wanted to make sure.
- A. Yes, I agree with that.
- Q. Okay. Fair -- fair enough. And so, are you -- do
- you know why the Chief or the City afforded Jessica this

- 1 meeting? Do you know the reason for it? Did anyone ever tell you that?
- A. If I can recall, I had a conversation. In that conversation that I had with the Chief, it was -- he said
- Conversation that I had with the Chief, it was -- he said
- that it was so he can get an understanding of both sides ofwhat had happened.
- 7 Q. So in other words, before the Chief was going to
 - fire her or implement her -A. He didn't say he was going to fire her.
- 10 Q. I understand that. I'm not saying what he said.
- 11 **I'm saying --**12 A. Yeah, but you just -- you just implemented that he
- 13 said that. He didn't say that in any conversation. He
- wanted to understand better the scenario before he made adecision of what he was going to do.
- Q. And if you let me finish, because remember, the court reporter can't take down two people talking at the same time.
- What I was going to correct myself in saying was,
 what the Chief was telling you -- correct me if I'm wrong --
- what the Chief was tening you -- correct me if I in wrong -is, before he made a decision as to whether to implement her
- 22 letter of resignation, which is what the Last Chance23 Agreement is, he wanted to give her the opportunity to
- 24 explain her side of the story; did that happen?
- 25 MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.

(Pages 45 to 48)

46

48

45

1 BY MR. ELKINS:

- Q. You can answer.
- 3 A. That's what I was told by -- by Chief Clements,
- 4 yes.

12

5 O. Okav. Fair enough.

6 All right. Now, during the meeting -- during the 7 actual meeting, not -- I'm not talking about any -- well, 8

let me back up.

9 Prior to the meeting when you were in this room 10 and having these conversations, did the Chief or anyone else from the City talk about Jessica's 2020 EEOC charge? 11

- A. I don't -- I don't recall. I don't recall. I'll
- 13 be fair to say I don't recall.
- 14 Q. Did you even know about her 2020 EEOC charge?
- 15 A. Maybe. I didn't know any details to it. Maybe in
- -- in rumor mill, you know, but other than that, like I 16
- said, I don't know the details to anything.
- 18 Q. Did anyone from the -- now, to be clear, you're 19 not a decision-maker on terminations or discipline, correct?
- 20 A. No. No. No.
- 21 Q. And you were not involved in the decision
- 22 ultimately to implement Jessica's letter of resignation,
- 23 were you?
- 24 A. No, I wasn't.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did anybody from the City ever tell you

- that the reason that the City implemented Jessica's letter
- of resignation was because it was retaliating against her
- for filing the 2020 EEOC charge?
- 4 MR. BARROUKH: Objection. Form.
- 5 BY MR. ELKINS:
 - O. You can answer.
- 7 A. No.

6

8

24

- Q. Okay. During the meeting -- now we're finally to
- 9 during the meeting, which I know I've said five times.
- During the meeting, at any point in time, did you or any
- other member of the FOP stop the meeting or try to stop the 11
- 12 meeting and say that it was an improper meeting under the
- 13 Police Officer Bill of Rights?
- 14 A. Not that I recall.
- 15 Q. Okay. And after Jessica was separated from the
- 16 City, did the FOP file a grievance on her behalf regarding
- 17 her separation?
- 18 A. I don't know. I don't know if they did.
- 19 Q. Well, you're the -- you're the -- you were the
- 20 third highest ranking officer in the FOP, correct?
- 21 A. Yeah. But unfortunately, sometimes things get
- 22 filed and, you know, that doesn't necessarily mean I know
- 23 about everything that happens.
 - Q. Right. That's fair. That's fair.
- 25 Were you ever part of any discussions as to

47

1 whether to file a grievance after Jessica was separated from

the City?

7

- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Including, to be clear, I don't want to know about any discussions with the FOP lawyer. So -- but you weren't part of any discussions, correct? 6
 - A. From -- say that again.
- 8 Q. Excluding any conversations with the FOP's lawyer,
- 9 were you part of any discussions where the FOP was talking
- 10 about, considering, looking into, filing a grievance on
- behalf of Jessica after she was separated from the City?
- 12 A. That I recall? I don't recall.
- 13 O. Okav.
- 14 A. I don't recall being a part of it.
- 15 Q. And sitting here today, you don't know if the FOP
- 16 ever filed a grievance on her behalf?
- 17 A. No, I don't. I don't.
- 18 Q. Do you know if the FOP's ever filed for -- on
- Jessica's behalf alleging that the City violated 112, the
- 20 Police Officer Bill of Rights, during this January meeting?
- MR. BARROUKH: Objection to form. 21
- 22 BY MR. ELKINS:
- Q. You can answer. 23
- 24 A. I do remember that there was a discussion with
- 25 Jessica about it, about her, after the meeting was

- conducted, about certain things that happened in particular
- to being questioned by Commander Prieto in the meeting, that
- she felt that her Bill of Rights was violated. But I don't
- -- I don't know where that ended at. I don't know where
- that -- where that whole thing went.
- 6 Q. So tell me about the conversation after the 7 meeting.
- A. There was a conversation Jessica had, her and
- 9 Nick, if I'm not mistaken, where it was said to me that -- I
- 10 want to say more so that Jessica felt that her Bill of
- 11 Rights was violated and -- and that the union, you know,
- 12 obviously sat in the meeting and -- and didn't do anything.
- 13 And more so, only because of, like I said, in the
- 14 meeting at some point, to get particulars, as to what had 15
- happened in that situation, there was times -- normally, in
- 16 a meeting like this, the Chief of Police talks and they give
- both sides an opportunity. Jessica ends up -- Jessica
- 18 talked about some things and then the accuser, Lieutenant
- 19 Cosner, talked about some things.
- 20 But there was some followup -- during that meeting
- there was followup questions asked of Jessica by Commander
- -- by Commander Prieto in the meeting. And that's where she 22
- 23 felt like the violation had occurred.
- 24 Q. But you said at the beginning -- I just want to
- 25 make sure I'm clear -- that the conversation after the

(Pages 49 to 52)

50

52

49

```
1 meeting was between Jessica and Nick. Are you referring to
```

- Nick Guasto? 3 A. Right. So I think -- if I'm correct, I think that
- 4 when the issue was brought at hand, brought to me, yes, it
- was brought to me from -- by -- there was a conversation
- with both of them, if I'm not mistaken, yes.
- 7 Q. Well, wait. Who -- tell me about -- let's start 8 first. Let's back up.
- 9 A. Okay.

10

- Q. Right. When did -- when did the first
- conversation about her 112 rights occur after the meeting?
- 12 Let's start there.
- 13 A. I can't recall exactly when it was.
- 14 O. Right.
- 15 A. When the conversation was had, I can't recall, but
- 16 there was a mention of that to me.
- 17 Q. Okay. And who -- and now, who mentioned it to you 18 specifically?
- 19 A. I can't remember if it was Nick or Jessica. I
- didn't really -- to be honest with you, I didn't really talk
- to Jessica a lot. More so, talked to Nick on certain issues
- 22 or certain things. I didn't really talk to Jessica a lot
- 23 because like I said, we was just kind of like co-workers and

1 after that meeting was conducted and it was brought up. And

But there was a -- at one point a request for me

whatever had happened of my involvement, anybody know me in

I don't know if it was brought up. Jessica -- I don't know

if -- like I said, I don't know if Nick or Jessica brought

to do an affidavit. And I said, listen, I'm not doing any

affidavit or anything. I will -- if I'm ever subpoenaed to

terms of my integrity, I'm going to tell the truth. So I

said I'll tell the truth if the courts or anybody subpoena

- whatever, but I didn't -- we didn't have the type of
- relationship.

4 it up.

5

6

9

10

12

And because I wasn't really so embedded in dealing

- with this whole scenario, it was only because I had to be
- sent to this meeting. That was pretty much it. And I was
- kind of like the facilitator of -- of that part. That was
- really it. But -- but if I'm correct, I think the
- conversation was had with both of them being present. I
- don't know if it was a phone conversation or it was a
- conversation in person. I can't recall.
- 9 Q. But it was with you directly?
 - A. Yes, it was with me directly.
- 11 Q. Anybody else besides you, Nick, and/or Jessica?
- 12 A. No. No. No. I don't recall them having that
- 13 conversation. If they did, not in my presence.
- 14 Q. And what did you do -- well, no, I'm asking if there was anyone else present for the conversation that you
- 16 had with Nick and/or Jessica?
- 17 A. No, no, no. Right. No. No. It was just -- as a
- 18 matter of fact, I don't know -- like I said, I don't know if
- it was a phone call or if it was in person, but no, no one
- 20 else was involved.
- 21 Q. And what did you do after that conversation, if 22 anything?
- 23 A. I didn't do anything. I didn't do anything. I
- 24 don't know how far after. I want to say -- that was an
- initial conversation. I want to say it happened sometime

51

- 1 Q. Hold on. That isn't what you said. I'm not
 - trying to be difficult. I just want to be sure I
 - 3 understand.
 - A. Okay.
 - Q. Who was it that asked you to provide the affidavit
 - and you said, I don't know, it could have been the attorney.
 - I don't remember.
 - A. No, no, no. Right, right. So what I'm --
 - 9 Q. Sorry, you keep interrupting. It's not going to
 - 10 work. The court reporter can't take down two people talking
 - 11 at the same time. So let me finish my question and I'll let
 - 12 vou answer. So let me back up.

13 14

21

4

I asked you who you had the conversation with

about the affidavit. And I think you said you didn't

- 15 remember, it could have been an attorney, could have been
- 16 Jessica, could have been Nick. So we are not talking about
- two people. I want to know if you remember who talked to
- you about providing an affidavit? That's the first thing I
- 19 want to know.
- 20 A. You misunderstood me.
 - Q. Okay.
- 22 A. I did not say that I talked to an attorney. I
- 23 said I don't know if they had talked to an attorney. There
- was a mention of an affidavit. I said the only two
- people -- if you listen, Mr. Elkins, the only two people

me to tell what happened and my involvement, but I'm not doing any -- any affidavit.

- 13 Q. Who asked you to do an affidavit? A. There was -- I don't recall who asked me, but
- 14 15 there was a conversation about it.
- 16 Q. So --
- 17 A. I don't know if it was through the attorney. I
- 18 don't know if it was through the attorney or them. But
- 19 there was a conversation about an affidavit.
- 20 Q. So you had a conversation with some unknown person 21 about --
- A. No, no, no, about -- not unknown. But it was
- either -- it was -- we are only talking about two people. I
- just told you it was only two people involved in this. It was either Nick or Jessica. I can't recall who it was.

6

10

12

13

15

5

53

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

54

1 that I ever talked to was Nick and Jessica. And I don't

- 2 know which one. You keep trying to cross me up, man. I
- $\,\,^{3}\,\,$ don't know which one. This was three years ago. But I'm
- 4 being honest with you.

5

12

- Q. I'm not trying to trip you up.
- 6 A. Okay. I didn't say anything that I talked to an
- 7 attorney. I didn't talk to an attorney. And that was it.
- 8 And I told them when they approached me, or whoever it was, 9 that I was not doing an affidavit, that if I was subpoenaed,
- through an attorney, or through the courts, that's where the
- attorney part come in.
 - If I was subpoenaed through an attorney, who you
- 13 are, or the courts, that I, in terms of my involvement, will
- 14 be truthful. And that's all. So whatever happened,
- 5 whatever I can remember happened in that -- in that setting,
- 16 that's it. That's all I said.
- Q. And sitting here today, you've never talked to any other lawyer about this case --
- 19 A. No.
- 20 **O. besides me?**
- A. No, I've never talked to any other lawyer, sir, at
- 22 all. No lawyer.
- 23 **O. Perfect.**
- Okay. And sitting here today, just to confirm,
- 25 you don't know if the union ever filed a grievance on

- 1 Jessica's behalf relating to her separation?
 - A. Correct.
- **Q.** And other than me showing you the Last Chance
- 4 Agreement today, you have never read it before this
- 5 deposition today, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- 7 Q. And sitting here today, just to confirm, you don't
- recall who it was that told you Jessica couldn't have a
- 9 lawyer at this meeting, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- MR. ELKINS: Nothing further.
 - MR. BARROUKH: All right.
 - CROSS EXAMINATION
- 14 BY MR. BARROUKH:
 - Q. Sergeant Lester, I will try to keep this quick.
- 16 A. Please.
- 17 **Q.** Yes, sir.
- You previously stated that it was either Richard
- 19 Clements or Paul Ozaeta who denied Jessica an attorney at
- 20 the meeting; is that correct?
- A. No, that's not what I said. I said that it was
- 22 communicated through either Paul Ozaeta or Richard Clements.
- 23 I don't know who denied it. I didn't say that either
- 24 Richard Clements denied it or Paul Ozaeta denied it. I said
- 25 I don't know who -- that's what was communicated to me, that

55 56

- 1 a denial for the attorney to be present was denied. I don't
- 2 know who made that decision, sir.
- Q. But the decision was final, that she was denied an attorney at the meeting, correct?
 - MR. ELKINS: Objection to form.
- 6 You can answer.

5

14

- 7 THE WITNESS: Ask the question again.
- 8 BY MR. BARROUKH:
- 9 Q. The decision to deny her an attorney was made, 10 correct?
- 11 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 13 BY MR. BARROUKH:
 - Q. Could you please answer?
- 15 A. Yes, yes.
- 16 Q. Thank you.
- You previously mentioned that there were trust issues between Jessica and Arley Flaherty; is that correct?
- 19 A. That's what I was told when I asked about why I
- 20 was sent to the meeting. Because I was obviously, the -- I
- 20 was sent to the meeting. Decause I was obviously, the -- I
- 21 was obviously the Second Vice President. And that Jessica
- 22 felt more comfortable with a person that's going to be more
- 23 fair being there at the meeting, so that's why I went to
- 24 meeting 25 **O**.
 - Q. And why do you think Jessica had an issue with

- 1 Sergeant Flaherty?
 - A. I can't testify to that, sir. I don't know.
- 3 Q. Did you believe you could stop the meeting on 4 January 19th if you tried?
 - MR. ELKINS: Objection to form.
- 6 BY MR. BARROUKH:
 - O. You can answer.
 - A. Knowing what I know now, I assume I could have.
- 9 That's what it's seeming like, like it's -- it's all blamed
- 10 on me or us, rather, the people that was in there on behalf
- 11 of the union and partaking. But at the time, I did not -- I
- 12 did not know that, sir. And especially for me, from my
- 13 angle -- I can't testify to anybody else -- if I knew that
- 14 the seriousness the totality of the circumstances that I
- 15 felt that an attorney or Jessica was requesting an attorney,
- 16 is asked for, and the meeting is still saying that it has to
- 17 happen, then I don't know if me personally would feel that I
- had the powers to say that that meeting, me myself. I could
- 19 have probably walked out the room, to your point, but I
- 20 don't know if the meeting would have not still went forward,
- 21 you know? And that's been -- I don't know that part.
- 22 **Q. I understand.**
- And was there a negative or hostile sentiment
- 24 towards Jessica from your understanding prior to the
- 25 **meeting?**

(Pages 57 to 60)

57 58 1 1 Q. By video recording. MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. 2 2 A. I mean -- I mean, unless -- I had no knowledge of THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yeah, that -- what are 3 the meeting being recorded. That's something that you would you -- what are you mentioning in terms of negative or have to ask others, more so administration. There was no 4 hostile? device in there recording. Other than the fact that 5 BY MR. BARROUKH: Q. Was there a hostile atmosphere prior to the 6 Commander Prieto had his computer, I don't know if he had it 7 recorded, I don't know. meeting towards Jessica? 8 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. 8 But there's nothing else in there that I know that 9 9 -- we wasn't notified that it was recorded. Let me say THE WITNESS: No, no, I didn't -- I didn't witness 10 myself or anything I partake in, you know. I would that. We wasn't notified that that meeting was being definitely not allow it. That's a totally other topic. recorded, unless I missed that part. 11 11 12 I didn't witness anything hostile, me as a union 12 Q. All right. And are you familiar with the Miami 13 13 representative. The formality of what meetings cannot **Beach Police Department Allegation of Employee Misconduct** 14 14 cancel is one thing. The hostile environment of a 15 meeting, right, that may all be personal -- or I'm not 15 A. Yes. I'm a supervisor. I mean, I don't know when 16 disregarding how Jessica felt personally, but I didn't 16 the last time I looked at one, but yeah, I'm familiar with 17 witness any hostile -- anyone in the meeting hostile 17 18 towards Jessica that created a hostile environment to 18 Q. And do you believe that -- I'm going to call it an 19 19 AEM form. Okay? that nature. 20 BY MR. BARROUKH: 20 A. Okay. 21 Q. And do you believe that an AEM form should be 21 O. Okav. signed when it's submitted? 22 A. I didn't witness that. 22 23 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. 2.3 Q. And was the meeting recorded? 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know the circumstances of --24 A. I don't know if the meeting was recorded. In terms of when you say recorded, by what? 25 of the scenario why it was submitted. I don't know if 59 60 1 it was submitted on DocuSign. I don't know if it was 1 enough time that had went by to make sure those 2 2 documents was -- went up the chain of command. submitted. Like, I didn't -- I'm not testifying to 3 3 that because that's not the part that I partake in. In this situation, I don't know if you're telling That would be something that you'd have to ask, I 4 me that, but I don't know why -- and I can't testify as 4 5 assume Lieutenant Cosner or Commander Prieto or former to -- I'm not saying it's right or wrong, to your 6 Chief -- Chief Richard Clements. I can't tell you as 6 point, you know, because I don't know the scenario. 7 7 to why a document like that wasn't signed, sir. BY MR. BARROUKH: 8 BY MR. BARROUKH: 8 Q. And do you --9 9 Q. That's not what I'm asking. A. It usually -- usually, it is signed, though. If you want me to -- that's a better answer. Usually, those 10 I'm asking, do you believe a AEM form needs to be 11 signed before being submitted? documents are signed, but usually it's a greater period of 11 12 12 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. 13 THE WITNESS: It all depends on the circumstances, 13 Q. And could you explain the process to or in your 14 14

as I'm saying to you. I don't -- I mean, it should, right? It should be signed, I guess to make it official at some point, especially if it went up -usually, those type of things have to go up the chain

18 of command.

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

In this particular situation, like I said, usually, the time period between an incident happening and someone being brought in or -- and Attorney Elkins talked about predetermination hearing. In this case,

23 it wasn't a predetermination hearing.

> But usually, there's -- there's a lengthy time, so those documents are usually signed because there's

words the chain of command for a --

15 A. Usually -- usually, you have a violation is -- is

16 -- is something that's identified by a supervisor. You,

know, and there's different ways you can go about handling

it. But if it gets to this point where you've actually got 18

19 to put something on a, what you call an AEM form, you write

20 up the allegation of misconduct and there's a process that

21 it has to go through a chain of command.

22 Obviously, I'm a Sergeant. It goes to Lieutenant.

I haven't looked at these forms in a while. Thank God, I 23

have employees that's good employees, so I could be -- don't

-- don't -- don't quote me on this because I don't have the

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

61 62 1 form in front of me. 1 So otherwise, I'm going to have to tell you it's 2 But I'm sure at some point, it has to be signed by been a while since I've seen that form, so, I don't -- I other -- other personnel or -- or if it's -- if I'm not don't -- that form is not something that's a form that we 3 right, then my recollection is -- is that allegation of 4 use every day. 5 misconduct is signed by you, and then it's forwarded to the So even though I'm a supervisor, you may think, Internal Affairs unit. You know? 6 oh, you should know what that form look like. That's not 7 necessarily the case. So I want to be fair. Q. So you're a Sergeant, correct? 8 A. Yes. Q. All right. All right. I understand. Then, could 9 9 I take a five-minute break to pull up the form for you? Is Q. If you were following the chain of command, you would send this document up to a Lieutenant, correct? that all right? A. Yeah, I would have -- I would have sent it, yeah, 11 A. Perfect. Thank you, sir. 11 12 I would have sent it up -- up the chain, yes. But like I MR. BARROUKH: All right. Michael, that's all 12 13 13 said, do you -- do you have -- just so I'm not speaking off right with you? 14 MR. ELKINS: Whatever you want to do. the top of my head, do you have that form in front of you to at least tell me or show me who -- like, I don't -- I don't 15 MR. BARROUKH: All right. 1:35 we'll be back. 16 -- because I don't know what --16 (Recess was taken.) 17 Q. So -- so the form at this time -- I'm just 17 MR. BARROUKH: All right. Is everybody ready? 18 speaking about the form, not a specific form in question. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 19 19 Is that all right? MR. ELKINS: Yeah. 20 A. Yeah, but you're speaking about my -- obviously, 20 BY MR. BARROUKH: 21 Attorney Elkins when he was speaking about -- to be fair, Q. All right. So I'm going to share my screen. 21 22 you know, when he was speaking about the Last Chance 22 Disable. For whatever reason, I'm not allowed to share my 23 Agreement, he pulled it up and he showed me, you know, so I 23 24 can better give you -- give you the right answer and not 24 MR. ELKINS: You should be able to now. 25 just off the top of my head. It's been a while. 25 MR. BARROUKH: Thank you. 63 64 BY MR. BARROUKH: document like this when it's submitted and filled out need 1 2 Q. So this document is marked City 001250. Can you to follow a chain of command? 3 see this document? MR. ELKINS: Objection. 4 4 A. Yes, I could see this document, sir. You can answer. 5 Q. And do you know what this document is? I could 5 THE WITNESS: Okay. It would go through some form 6 6 Zoom in if you'd like. of a process or a chain of command, either -- either --7 A. Yeah, it's a Allegation of Employee Misconduct. 7 if I was to write this up as a supervising Sergeant, 8 Q. All right. So as I was asking before, does a form 8 either it goes to the Lieutenant for review up the 9 9 like this need to go through a chain of command when chain, or I can't recall, because I don't see the 10 submitted? 10 complete document and I'm trying to piece together what 11 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. 11 you're trying to give me the answer. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 This form would go directly or is directly 13 BY MR. BARROUKH: 13 submitted to -- to Internal Affairs, because this is a 14 initial report of -- of concerns of a -- of allegations 14 O. You can answer. 15 of a police -- of employee misconduct. 15 MR. ELKINS: You can answer. 16 16 THE WITNESS: I still can't see. Is this the So in this scenario, I don't know the 17 complete document? 17 circumstances in terms of what you're saying to me. So BY MR. BARROUKH: 18 it's kind of hard to really answer the question on my 18 19 Q. It's -- this is the form. I -- I don't need you 19 end. 20 to talk about the exact document. But a form like this 20 BY MR. BARROUKH: 21 talking about the Allegation of Employee Misconduct form --Q. All right. I'll try to be a little bit more 22 A. So this -specific then. For Steven Cosner's, Lieutenant Cosner's Q. This --23 Allegation of Employee Misconduct, which is what sparked the 24 A. I'm sorry, go ahead. meeting on January 19th, do you believe that he should have

brought the complaint through a chain of command?

25

Q. Thank you. I'm just asking simply: Does a

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

6.5 66 1 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. 1 Mr. Elkins brought that up, progressive discipline. 2 2 So when I initially was brought into this, I You can answer. 3 THE WITNESS: I -- like I said, I don't know the 3 didn't feel like the violation met the need for 4 4 circumstances involved in this as, you know, as to why termination until obviously, it was made known to me, 5 5 if -- I'm having a hard time understanding. Like, which I reviewed, that there was a Last Chance 6 6 you're trying to get me to answer what Steve Cosner Agreement. 7 7 did. I can't answer what Steve Cosner did. And my BY MR. BARROUKH: 8 whole thing is, is that if it was me, then there is a Q. And were you aware of any other employees on Last 9 process that it should go to either through the chain 9 **Chance Agreements?** 10 10 of command or to Internal Affairs. I've already said A. I dealt with other Last Chance Agreements. No --11 11 well, prior to Jessica's? that 12 BY MR. BARROUKH: 12 Q. Prior to Jessica -- following Jessica's situation. 13 13 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. Q. Okay. 14 14 A. That's all I can answer for you. You can answer. 15 Q. All right. Thank you. I'm going to stop sharing 15 THE WITNESS: I've heard of scenarios involving 16 my screen. 16 Last Chance Agreements, but I don't know directly if I 17 A. Okay. 17 was involved in Last Chance Agreements. There's only 18 Q. And one of the last things I want to touch on was 18 one case that I know of that I don't think the employee 19 you did not believe Jessica's actions were grounds for 19 was on a Last Chance Agreement because the employee end termination; is that correct? 20 up -- end up resigning instead of -- in lieu of Last 21 Chance Agreement. So I don't think I've ever been. 21 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. 22 22 And Mr. Elkins know I've been involved in a multitude THE WITNESS: What was reported to me of partaking 23 23 in the meeting, I didn't believe -- and it's not about of different issues. 24 24 Jessica, right? Usually, when the union deal with Usually, Last Chance Agreements, to his point, are 25 25 issues, it's about the violation. And progressive -usually the personnel that's involved is usually the 67 68 1 President of the FOP and as well as obviously, this 1 the light at the end of the tunnel to finish their 2 2 career. And it's kind of like an agreement where the language that the City attorney has -- has put there 3 employee also has a level of accountability to take to 3 that our attorney do review or, you know, there's maybe 4 4 some back and forth about the different language. say that I understand these terms and I won't violate 5 But usually, the Second or First Vice President 5 any of these terms so I could keep my job. 6 6 usually are not really, you know, involved in Last BY MR. BARROUKH: 7 Chance Agreements. Sometimes we are. But it's more 7 Q. Do you believe Jessica was a good police Sergeant? 8 just formality of meetings and not necessarily the 8 MR. ELKINS: Objection to form. 9 process of the Last Chance Agreements. 9 THE WITNESS: I can't testify to that. I was 10 BY MR. BARROUKH: 10 never a -- I never supervised Jessica and if I -- I 11 11 never worked with Jessica, and if I testified to that, Q. And do you know why Jessica was placed on a Last 12 it will be all opinionated. And I don't have an 12 **Chance Agreement?** 13 MR. ELKINS: Object to the form. 13 opinion on Jessica in terms of whether she was a good THE WITNESS: I know Jessica was involved in a 14 14 or bad Sergeant, to be honest with you. I don't know 15 Internal Affairs case. And there was some sort of 15 much about -- I never -- I don't think I ever worked a discipline there. So I think as a result of that, 16 16 shift with Jessica as, you know -- even when I got 17 settling that Internal Affairs case, with discipline, I 17 promoted as a Sergeant, I don't think I even worked 18 think the Last Chance Agreement was something that the 18 with worked a shift with her as a Sergeant. 19 City put on the table. 19 BY MR. BARROUKH: 20 Usually, with Last Chance Agreements, it all 20 Q. Was it standard for you as a Sergeant to work 21 depends on the violation. Sometimes the severity, 21 shifts with the same Lieutenant? 22 rather, it depends on the severity of the incident. Or 22 MR. ELKINS: Object to the form. 23 it could also be an employee that, you know, is kind of 2.3 THE WITNESS: Repeat that question. 24 like the frequent flier of certain incidents and the 24 BY MR. BARROUKH:

25

Q. As a Sergeant, were you assigned to more than one

25

City is trying to get that employee to see, you know,

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

70 69 1 Lieutenant? 1 MR. ELKINS: Object to the form. 2 2 THE WITNESS: I don't know if Steve mentioned it MR. ELKINS: Object to the form. 3 THE WITNESS: It all depends on the circumstances. 3 when we had our briefing in that it wasn't true. But I You normally have a Lieutenant that you're assigned to 4 don't know if I even heard that from Jessica or if that 4 5 5 with a set of days off. But the police department has, was just kind of like the rumor out there. I don't 6 6 especially Miami Beach Police Department, we have a lot know to what totality of -- where I heard that at, to 7 7 going on, special events, and you do get held over for be honest with you. 8 other shifts because of, you know, obviously, personnel 8 BY MR. BARROUKH: 9 staffing issues. So there is possibility that you 9 Q. And when you say "rumor out there," do you mean in 10 could work for other Lieutenants, if -- if that's your 10 the police station? 11 11 MR. ELKINS: Object to the form. question. THE WITNESS: Yes, it would be in the police 12 BY MR. BARROUKH: 12 13 station. I really -- to be honest with you, I don't 13 Q. Thank you. And have you ever heard of Jessica's -- strike 14 know anything about Jessica's relationships or Steve, 14 you know, to be fair to both of them. I'm not friends 15 that. One second. 15 What did you know about Jessica's relationship 16 16 with either one of them to that nature to know, you 17 with Steven Cosner? 17 know, to know their business, their personal business. 18 A. Don't know about it, other than like I told Mr. 18 BY MR. BARROUKH: 19 19 Elkins, that there was a insinuation of Jessica allegation Q. I understand. of saying that Steve Cosner tried to approach her at one 20 MR. BARROUKH: All right. I have no further point and she turned him down. I don't know if that to be 21 21 22 MR. ELKINS: I have a few very brief questions, 22 true or false, to be honest with you. I don't know anything Sergeant, and then I think we are done. 23 23 24 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 24 Q. So you only heard that from Jessica; is that 25 correct? 25 THE WITNESS: Okay. 71 72 BY MR. ELKINS: 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 1 2 THE REPORTER: Read or waive? 2 Q. Were you involved at all in the negotiations of 3 MR. ELKINS: Sergeant, do you -- what's that? Jessica's Settlement Agreement that I showed you as Exhibit THE WITNESS: I'm done? 4 4 1? 5 5 MR. ELKINS: Well, one question, Sergeant: I A. No. sir. 6 know -- I know as much as you enjoy spending time with 6 Q. Were you involved in any of the negotiations 7 relating to her Last Chance Agreement? me, you want to get out of here. You have the 8 A. No, sir. 8 opportunity to read the deposition transcript or you 9 9 can waive that. The reason --Q. Were you involved in any decisions to separate her 10 10 from employment in that decision-making process? THE WITNESS: I'll read. A. No, I wasn't involved in the decision-making 11 MR. ELKINS: Okay. There you go. So he'll read. 11 12 12 process. We are ordering, Tim. Mini. Let me drop the exhibit 13 13 Q. And -- Understood. in the chat for you. A. I'm not a manager. No, no. The only involvement 14 THE REPORTER: Okay. Daniel, hold off for now? 14 15 I had was what I stated in this meeting. I'm not a manager 15 MR. BARROUKH: Yeah, we'll hold off for now. You to make those decisions. 16 want me to drop the exhibit in the chat? 17 Q. And you're not considered a management employee. 17 THE REPORTER: Yeah. And you want your exhibits I understand as a Sergeant, you're a supervisor? 18 to be marked, correct, Daniel? 18 19 A. Yes, right. 19 MR. BARROUKH: Not necessarily. 20 Q. You're not management, correct? 20 MR. ELKINS: He didn't mark it in the depo. He A. No, I'm not. Correct, correct. 21 doesn't get to go back and do it after. 21 22 MR. ELKINS: Nothing further. 22 MR. BARROUKH: I said it's been marked as -- I did 23 MR. BARROUKH: Thank you. I also want to say 23 read out the marking, actually. Yeah, I did, so it's thank you, Sergeant, for your service. I appreciate 24 24 25 25 THE REPORTER: Michael, he read the Bates stamp.

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

			15 (rages 75 to 70)
	73		74
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I wasn't sure if he wanted to mark it. Sometimes you could display exhibit files on the deposition but not necessarily mark it to the transcript, so MR. ELKINS: Did he mark it as an exhibit in the depo? I don't remember. THE REPORTER: Not that I heard. That's why I had to ask you, Daniel. You just listed the Bates stamp number for the exhibit. But if you want it to be marked with the deposition, then I could do that. MR. BARROUKH: There's no need. MR. ELKINS: If he wants to mark it as Exhibit 2, that's fine by me. I don't think he did it, Tim. MR. BARROUKH: I don't need to mark it. It's fine. THE REPORTER: Okay. Sounds good. THE WITNESS: I'm done, Mr. Elkins? MR. ELKINS: You're done, Sergeant. Appreciate it. THE WITNESS: See you at the next one. MR. ELKINS: I'm sure somewhere. THE WITNESS: Everyone, have a great day. (Deposition concluded at 1:50 P.M.)	1 2 3 4 5 6 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CERTIFICATE OF OATH STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF BROWARD I, TIMOFEY GARBUZ, Notary Public, State of Florida, certify that SGT. REGINALD LESTER personally appeared before me via Zoom on the 28th day of March 2024 and was duly sworn. Signed this 28th day of March 2024. TIMOFEY GARBUZ Notary Public State of Florida My Commission #HH 284028 Expires July 5, 2026
3 CC 4 5 au 6 LF th: 7 co 8 en 9 no att	REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE CATE OF FLORIDA) DUNTY OF PALM BEACH) I, TIMOFEY GARBUZ, Court Reporter, certify that I was thorized to and did report the Deposition of SGT. REGINALD ESTER; that a review of the transcript was requested; and at the foregoing transcript, pages 1-73, is a true and mplete record of my stenographic notes. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, nployee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, or am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' corney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I nancially interested in the action. DATED this 16th day of April 2024. TIMOFEY GARBUZ COURT REPORTER		ERRATA SHEET DO NOT WRITE ON THE TRANSCRIPT - ENTER CHANGES IN RE: GUASTO V CITY OF MIAMI BEACH CASE NO: 1:22-cv-21004-DPG DATE: MARCH 28, 2024 DEPONENT NAME: SGT. REGINALD LESTER PAGE/LINE CORRECTION REASON (Use other side if necessary) Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have read the foregoing document and that the facts stated are true. SGT. REGINALD LESTER DATE

20 (Page 77) 77 1 DATE: APRIL 16, 2024 2 SGT. REGINALD LESTER 3 REGINALDLESTER@MIAMIBEACHFL.GOV IN RE: GUASTO V CITY OF MIAMI BEACH Deposition of Sgt. Reginald Lester This letter is to advise you that the transcript taken in the above-referenced deposition has been transcribed. Please contact our office at (954)523-5326 to make arrangements to read and sign or sign below to waive review of the transcript. It is suggested that the review of this transcript be completed within 30 days of your receipt of this letter as considered reasonable under Federal Rules*; however, 10 there is no Florida Statute to this regard. The original of this transcript has been forwarded 12 to the ordering party and your errata, once received, will be forwarded to all ordering parties for inclusion in the transcript. Very truly yours, 14 15 16 Timofey Garbuz, Court Reporter 17 Waiver: , hereby waive the reading and signing 18 I, 19 of my deposition transcript. DEPONENT DATE *Federal Civil Procedure Rule 30(e)Florida Civil Procedure 22 Rule 1.310(e). 23 24 25

rage 10	Page	78
---------	------	----

	1			<u>-</u>
	29:21 30:8,10	answer 5:25 6:1	arrive 26:9	75:8,9
A.J 17:8 19:25	30:15,16 31:1	6:3,9,14,19	arrived 27:1	audible 6:7
26:13,14 36:22	31:7,15,18	9:16,17 15:7	articulated	audibly 6:9
36:24	32:2,6,9,12,12	15:15 21:20	29:11	authorized 75:5
able 62:24	32:19,22,23	23:9 26:5	asked 6:15 13:16	availability 12:7
above-referen	33:5,7 34:3,8	29:15 31:11,11	20:10 48:21	available 11:15
77:6	34:16,17,18	33:1,20,24	51:13,14 52:5	aware 22:8 66:8
	35:2,3,12,18	45:2 46:6	52:13 55:19	
Absolutely 10:7	35:23,24 36:5	47:23 52:12	56:16	B
accountability 68:3	36:6,12,15	55:6,14 56:7	asking 12:18,19	B 2:2 3:8
accuser 48:18	39:8,19,22,23	60:10 61:24	15:10 26:2	back 20:22 26:8
action 75:9,10	40:10,15,18,19	63:14,15 64:4	27:1 33:3,4,11	27:13,19,24
action 75.9,10 actions 65:19	40:23 41:2,21	64:11,18 65:2	33:16 50:14	30:3 37:12
actual 21:8 24:4	41:25 42:4,12	65:6,7,14	59:9,10 63:8	41:1 45:8 49:8
26:7 28:21	42:14,19,20	66:14	63:25	52:12 62:15
45:7	43:1,8,17	answering 38:15	assess 40:22	67:4 72:21
address 30:17	44:23 54:4	answers 6:7	41:24	bad 68:14
30:21	61:23 66:6,19	anticipate 5:25	assigned 7:23	bargaining 9:9
administration	66:21 67:12,18	5:25	8:1 68:25 69:4	29:11 31:17
58:4	68:2 71:3,7	anybody 14:12	associate 13:18	32:11
admitted 42:14	Agreements	16:16 26:24	assume 4:19	Barroukh 2:2
advise 77:6	66:9,10,16,17	45:25 50:11	56:8 59:5	3:5 9:14,17
advocating 10:9	66:24 67:7,9	51:8,10 56:13	at-will 32:10	15:5,13 23:7
10:21 35:9	67:20	anyway 34:24	34:4 35:3	29:13 31:9,21
AEM 58:19,21	ahead 63:24	appearances 2:1	atmosphere 57:6	32:16,24 33:9
59:10 60:19	ahs 6:8	4:3	attend 18:20	33:13 35:7,13
Affairs 17:20,21	allegation 58:13	appeared 74:5	20:11,12,24	37:15,18 38:6
18:9 20:1	60:20 61:4	APPEARING	attended 18:25	38:11,16,20
23:23 61:6	63:7,21 64:23	2:5,11	18:25 19:4,8	43:20 44:25
64:13 65:10	69:19	appreciate 38:14	32:10	46:4 47:21
67:15,17	allegations 5:3	71:24 73:17	attending 13:14	54:12,14 55:8
affidavit 51:6,7	27:6 28:13	approach 69:20	attorney 9:23	55:13 56:6
51:12,13,19	41:5,8,19	approached	10:10,13 20:11	57:5,20 59:8
52:5,14,18,24	64:14	53:8	21:9 23:17,22	60:7 62:12,15
53:9	allegedly 27:7	appropriate	24:4,13,22	62:17,20,25
affirm 4:4	alleging 16:24	28:17	25:4 30:15	63:1,13,18
afforded 43:25	47:19	April 75:11 77:1	35:19,22 36:9	64:20 65:12
afternoon 4:14	allow 23:4 57:11	area 27:11	36:17,19,23	66:7 67:10
ago 22:2 53:3	allowed 20:11	Arley 17:8 19:5	37:1,4 51:17	68:6,19,24
agree 35:11	32:12 62:22	19:15 20:5	51:18 52:6,15	69:12 70:8,18
40:17,20 41:19	analysis 35:11	22:21 23:2	52:22,23 53:7	70:20 71:23
43:16,19,23	35:12	26:17 27:20	53:7,10,11,12	72:15,19,22
agreed 2:17	and/or 42:5,5	55:18	54:19 55:1,4,9	73:10,13
agreement 3:10	50:11,16	arrangements	56:15,15 59:21	basic 5:17
28:5 29:3,11	angle 56:13	77:7	61:21 67:2,3	basically 8:16

Page 79

				Tage 75
10:10 11:12	23:17 29:4,23	74:1 75:1	37:7 40:22	cloudy 17:15
35:4	49:4,4,5 51:1,2	certify 74:5 75:5	41:24 42:13	co-worker 13:12
basis 11:13	51:3 59:21	75:8	43:25 44:4,7	co-workers
13:11	64:25 66:1,2	chain 59:17 60:2	44:20 45:3,10	49:23
Bates 72:25 73:7	BROWARD	60:14,21 61:9	48:16 59:6,6	Collective 29:11
battle 25:9	74:3	61:12 63:9	Chief's 17:10,18	31:17 32:11
beach 1:8 4:15	Brown 17:9	64:2,6,9,25	20:13 21:5	come 11:17
6:24 7:9,11 9:9	19:17 27:21	65:9	23:20 26:9	26:20 37:11
15:12 58:13	bruises 42:25	chair 12:16 23:3	42:3	53:11
69:6 75:3 76:3	business 70:17	23:14 26:17		comes 9:12
77:4			circumstances 9:19 20:8	32:22 33:6
	70:17	Chairman 19:19		
beginning 48:24	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$	22:5	56:14 58:24	comfortable
behalf 2:5,11 9:2	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$ 4:1	chance 28:5 29:3	59:13 64:17	22:14 55:22
11:17 39:19	caliber 23:21	29:21 30:7,10	65:4 69:3	coming 14:13
46:16 47:11,16	call 14:1 50:19	30:15,16 31:1	City 1:8 4:15,16	26:21
47:19 54:1	58:18 60:19	31:7,14,19	5:5 6:23 7:1,4	command 11:19
56:10		32:1,6,9,19,22	7:7 8:22 9:9	11:20 19:5
believe 56:3	called 6:18	32:23 33:5,7	14:23 15:4,12	59:18 60:2,14
58:18,21 59:10	11:24 20:3,4,5	34:3,8,16,17	16:21,23 23:21	60:21 61:9
64:24 65:19,23	27:6 28:9,10	34:18 35:2,3	25:18 39:9,24	63:9 64:2,6,25
68:7	34:11 42:19,20	35:12,17,23,24	41:21 43:25	65:10
believed 24:22	cancel 57:14	36:5,6,11,15	45:11,25 46:1	Commander
benefits 8:19	Captain 19:18	39:23 40:10,14	46:16 47:2,11	48:2,21,22
best 6:4	19:25 23:15	40:19 41:21	47:19 63:2	58:6 59:5
better 9:3,25	27:21	42:12,14,19,20	67:2,19,25	Commission
44:14 60:10	career 5:1 68:2	43:1,8,17	76:3 77:4	74:12
61:24	case 1:5 4:22 5:2	44:22 54:3	civil 4:22 5:2	committee 12:16
betterment	9:21 15:23	61:22 66:5,9	77:21,21	communicated
23:19,19,20,21	23:22,23 53:18	66:10,16,17,19	claim 16:20	54:22,25
Bill 46:13 47:20	59:22 62:7	66:21,24 67:7	clarification	compiling 28:8
48:3,10	66:18 67:15,17	67:9,12,18,20	6:13	complaint 64:25
bit 64:21	76:3	71:7	clarify 6:14 10:7	complete 63:17
blamed 56:9	cases 4:23,25	change 40:13	12:17	64:10 75:7
blind 30:12	7:20 10:16	changes 35:12	classified 7:19	completed 77:9
bottom 28:16	cause 29:10	35:14,15 76:2	clean 17:24	compliance
39:15,17	causing 22:18	charge 17:1	cleaned 18:10	40:23 41:25
break 8:16	CBA 33:8	45:11,14 46:3	clear 45:18 47:4	42:3
37:11,16,23	certain 8:24,25	charges 18:12	48:25	complicated
38:5,21 62:9	9:20,22 10:5	chat 72:13,16	Clements 19:24	20:9 34:15
BRICKELL 2:3	11:24 24:2,3	Chief 17:7 19:23	24:21 36:18,20	computer 58:6
brief 70:22	48:1 49:21,22	19:24 21:19	37:7 42:13	concept 31:20
briefing 70:3	67:24	24:21 25:17	45:3 54:19,22	concern 10:17
briefly 27:14	certainly 5:3	26:12,15 27:14	54:24 59:6	22:10 27:5
bring 42:12	12:25	27:19,25 32:3	client 33:12	concerns 64:14
brought 23:16	CERTIFICATE	32:12 36:18,20	close 38:15	concluded 73:22
		<u> </u>		
	I .	1	1	1

Page 80

				Tage 00
conducted 48:1	41:11,13,21	11:1	Delvin 17:8	67:4
51:1	44:19,20 45:19	11.1	19:17	difficult 52:2
CONFEREN	46:20 47:6	D	denial 35:19	dignitary 7:20
1:16	49:3 50:5 54:2	D 3:1 4:1	36:17 55:1	dinner 13:20
confirm 53:24	54:5,6,9,10,20	daily 11:13	denied 20:13	Direct 3:4 4:12
54:7	55:4,10,18	Daniel 2:2 38:5	21:10,21 22:6	directly 25:17
conflict 24:14	61:7,10 65:20	72:14,18 73:7	23:6 54:19,23	25:18 36:18,21
connected 75:9	69:25 71:20,21	Daniel's 38:2	54:24,24 55:1	50:9,10 64:12
considered 5:9	71:21 72:18	DANIELB@D	55:3	64:12 66:16
22:3 71:17	CORRECTION	2:5	deny 55:9	Disable 62:22
77:10	76:6	date 1:14 41:2	deny 33.9 department 7:7	disagree 28:19
		76:4,20 77:1	8:23 58:13	0
considering 47:10	correctly 18:19 27:13	77:20	69:5,6	disciplinary 9:12,23 18:12
contact 77:7	Cosner 17:8	DATED 75:11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	discipline 12:5,5
		day 10:1 34:19	depending 8:25 9:19 12:16	_ · ·
contains 31:15 context 10:21	19:25 26:13,18	35:21 41:17		12:20 13:4
	27:7,14,16,20	62:4 73:21	13:15	17:12 28:21
conversation	27:23 28:11,14	74:6,8 75:11	depends 12:6,7	32:22 33:6
14:19,25 15:19	28:14,15 41:20	days 69:5 77:9	12:8 59:13	45:19 66:1
16:6 20:19	48:19 59:5	deal 7:19,20,20	67:21,22 69:3	67:16,17
21:2,7 24:20	65:6,7 69:17	7:21 28:10	depo 15:17	disciplines 10:16
25:23 26:2,3	69:20	34:14 35:15,22	72:20 73:5	discrimination
26:14,15,16,20	Cosner's 41:5,8	42:20,21 65:24	depo'd 16:10,11	17:2
26:22 27:22	64:22,22	dealing 30:21	16:12	discuss 29:20,21
28:3,12 32:4	counsel 2:5,11	34:13 50:1	deponent 2:19	29:23
34:23 36:24	2:18 6:18 10:4	dealt 31:4 34:9	76:4 77:20	discussion 47:24
37:2,9 44:3,4	75:8,9	36:2 66:10	deposed 4:22,23	discussions
44:13 48:6,8	COUNTY 74:3	debating 43:1,2	4:25 15:3,11	46:25 47:5,6,9
48:25 49:5,11	75:3	43:3	15:23	display 73:2
49:15 50:6,7,8	court 1:2,17	December 7:15	deposition 1:13	disregarding
50:13,15,21,25	5:21 6:6 44:17	41:2,9,9,9	2:19 3:9 4:18	57:16
51:15,19,20	52:10 75:5,15	decision 42:3	5:13 14:12,17	DISTRICT 1:2
52:13	77:16	44:15,21 45:21	39:4 54:5 72:8	1:3
conversations	courts 51:10	55:2,3,9	73:2,9,22 75:1	DIVISION 1:4
26:12 45:10	53:10,13	decision-maker	75:5 77:5,6,19	document 37:11
47:8	created 57:18	45:19	Deputy 19:24	39:2,8 59:7
correct 4:20 5:7	crimes 7:20		DEREK 2:2	61:10 63:2,3,4
6:24 9:5 10:11	criminal 4:23,25	decision-maki	DESCRIPTION	63:5,17,20
10:18,22 11:5	8:1	71:10,11 decisions 71:9	3:9	64:1,10 76:18
13:1,5 14:16	cross 3:5 53:2		details 45:15,17	documentation
17:5,14 18:21	54:13	71:16	determine 40:22	21:4,5 25:20
19:3,12,19	curiosity 8:4	declare 76:18	41:25	documents 42:9
20:2 29:3 30:8	current 7:11,12	deep 42:23	device 58:5	42:10,11 59:25
30:11 32:2	10:25	DEFENDANT	different 7:21	60:2,11
34:21 39:21	currently 6:23	1:10 2:11	9:10 11:18	DocuSign 59:1
40:4 41:6,10	7:16,18,18	definitely 57:11	60:17 66:23	doing 51:6,12

Page 81

				Page 81
53:9	69:19 70:1,11	59:16 69:6	fair 9:7,13,18	52:11 68:1
DRIVE 2:3	70:22 71:1,22	ESQ 2:2,8	16:15 18:4,7	fire 44:8,9
drop 72:12,16	72:3,5,11,20	Eugene 10:13	18:16 24:21	fired 31:16
drop 72.12,10 due 27:24	73:4,11,16,17	events 7:24,24	36:21 37:8	first 4:11 5:1,21
duly 4:11 74:6	73:4,11,10,17	8:24 12:13	41:18 43:10,24	8:5,14 11:1,22
duties 11:9,13	emails 21:4	69:7	43:24 45:5,13	19:16 22:4,7
duties 11.5,15 duty 17:16	embedded 50:1	everybody 23:19	46:24,24 55:23	23:2 29:2 31:3
duty 17.10	employed 6:23	27:18 28:24	61:21 62:7	49:8,10 52:18
E	7:1	62:17	70:15	67:5
E 3:1,8 4:1,1	employee 5:10	ex-wife's 14:23	false 69:22	five 46:9
76:1,1,1	5:13 10:22	15:11	familiar 13:8,10	five-minute 62:9
earlier 15:16	13:4,5 31:16	exact 14:24 15:2	16:20 30:7,9	FL 1:8
43:6	32:10,21,21	15:9 37:8	30:10 31:19	Flaherty 17:8
EEOC 17:1	33:5 35:3	63:20	58:12,16	19:6,15 20:5
18:12 45:11,14	58:13 63:7,21	exactly 27:15	familiarity	27:20 55:18
46:3	64:15,23 66:18	28:24 37:25	13:11	56:1
effectively 31:18	66:19 67:23,25	41:17 49:13	far 50:24	flier 67:24
32:10	68:3 71:17	Examination 3:4	Federal 77:10	Florida 1:3,9,18
either 37:7	75:8,9	3:5,6 4:12	77:21	2:4,9 74:2,4,11
38:13 51:23,25	employee's	54:13 70:24	feel 22:14 56:17	75:2 77:10
54:18,22,23	10:18 40:23	exchange 31:19	66:3	follow 64:2
64:6,6,8 65:9	41:25	Excluding 47:8	feeling 22:18	following 61:9
70:16	employees 12:21	exclusively	felt 27:25 28:16	66:12
Elkins 2:8 3:4,6	33:22,24,25	40:22 41:24	48:3,10,23	follows 4:11
4:13,15 9:15	34:4,5 60:24	excuse 14:7	55:22 56:15	followup 15:18
10:6 15:6,14	60:24 66:8	exhibit 3:10 39:1	57:16	24:20 48:20,21
23:8 28:25	employment	39:4 71:3	figured 8:12	FOP 8:14 9:2,3
29:14 31:10,23	16:25 71:10	72:12,16 73:2	29:1	9:7 19:11,14
32:17,25 33:11	ended 48:4	73:4,8,11	file 46:16 47:1	19:16,18 20:2
33:15,19 35:8	ends 48:17	exhibits 72:17	filed 4:16 46:22	22:4 23:1,4,24
36:13 37:10,17	enforcement 7:3	Expires 74:12	47:16,18 53:25	23:24 31:3
37:21,25 38:2	engagement	explain 8:13	files 14:1 73:2	36:2,8 39:9,19
38:5,9,14,17	8:21	11:9 44:24	filing 17:1 46:3	39:24 40:11
38:22,23 39:6	engages 8:17	60:13	47:10	46:11,16,20
43:21 45:1	enjoy 72:6	explanation	fill 12:2	47:5,9,15 67:1
46:5 47:22	ensure 8:18	29:17 42:6	filled 64:1	FOP's 47:8,18
52:25 54:11	entail 11:11	43:14	final 55:3	forefront 22:24
55:5,11 56:5	ENTER 76:2		finally 46:8	foregoing 75:6
57:1,8 58:23	entered 39:9	F	financially	76:18
59:12,21 61:21	41:21	facilitator 50:4	75:10	form 6:18 9:14
62:14,19,24	entitled 13:6	fact 9:4 13:3	find 38:25	15:5,13 23:7
63:11,15 64:3	environment	34:11 42:13	fine 6:18 21:24	29:13 31:9,21
65:1,21 66:1	57:14,18	43:17 50:18	26:2 36:22	32:16,24 33:9
66:13,22 67:13	errata 77:12	58:5	73:12,14	33:18 35:7,13
68:8,22 69:2	especially 56:12	facts 76:18	finish 6:2 44:16	43:20 44:25
	•	•	•	•

Page 8	2
--------	---

-				Page 82
46:4 47:21	74:4,10 75:5	55:22 58:18	happened 18:3	69:22 70:7,13
55:5,11 56:5	75:15 77:16	62:1,21 65:15	28:9,11,13	hopefully 5:2,18
57:1,8 58:14	Gene 36:9	69:7	30:18 42:11	hostile 56:23
58:19,21,23	Gene's 24:14	good 4:14 9:3	43:14 44:6	
/ /		0		57:4,6,12,14
59:10,12 60:19	general 12:21,22 12:22	60:24 68:7,13 73:15	48:1,15 50:25	57:17,17,18
61:1,14,17,18			51:8,11 53:14	hour 38:8,19
61:18 62:2,3,3	generally 15:1,1	gotten 15:17	53:15	hung 13:20
62:6,9 63:8,11	30:25 31:2,6	18:21	happening	Ī
63:19,20,21	31:13 33:8	grab 37:11	30:20 38:3	identification
64:5,12 65:1	getting 10:24	great 39:14	59:20	39:5
65:21 66:13	38:14	73:21	happens 46:23	identified 27:10
67:13 68:8,22	Gibbons 10:13	greater 60:11	hard 64:18 65:5	60:16
69:2 70:1,11	10:15	grievance 9:22	hate 7:20	implement 44:8
formality 36:8	give 4:5 6:7	12:3 17:14	he'll 72:11	44:21 45:22
57:13 67:8	31:18 44:23	19:18 22:4	head 6:8,8 61:14	implemented
former 18:12	48:16 61:24,24	23:3,14 26:17	61:25	44:12 46:1
59:5	64:11	42:4 46:16	hear 6:17 15:20	implicate 29:5
formerly 4:17	given 23:1 31:19	47:1,10,16	heard 16:10	33:7
13:9	33:8 40:19	53:25	66:15 69:14,24	important 5:24
forms 8:17	go 5:17 19:6	grievances 12:4	70:4,6 73:6	improper 46:12
60:23	20:20 22:5	17:12 18:12	hearing 9:23	incident 14:20
FORT 2:9	23:4,23,24	ground 5:18	29:22 30:2	17:17 28:1,3,5
forth 27:13,24	24:25 30:12	grounds 28:2,22	31:16 32:14,20	29:8 30:20
67:4	33:17 37:19,23	29:9,18 31:25	34:20 35:5,10	34:9 59:20
forward 22:5	59:17 60:17,21	34:23 43:6	43:12,18 59:22	67:22
23:4 24:25	63:9,24 64:5	65:19	59:23	incidents 67:24
56:20	64:12 65:9	GROUP 2:2	hearings 43:5	include 12:10
forwarded 61:5	72:11,21	guarded 13:24	held 69:7	includes 12:8
77:11,12	God 4:7 5:2	Guasto 1:6 4:17	help 4:6 5:18	Includes 12.8
four 20:2	60:23	13:8,9,18 49:2	hey 15:1 24:24	inclusion 77:12
Fraternal 8:9	goes 60:22 64:8	76:3 77:4	HH 74:12	incorrect 34:24
frequent 67:24	going 5:17 6:14	guess 18:7 26:25	Hialeah 7:7,8	34:24
friendly 13:21	13:4,16 17:21	59:15	higher 14:9	initial 40:4
friends 70:15	17:23 19:1	guy 13:24	highest 46:20	50:25 64:14
friendship 13:23	22:15,17 24:8	guys 27:2	highlighted	initialed 40:1
front 61:1,14	24:12,12,13,15	H	35:25	initially 21:1
functions 9:10	24:15,16 25:2	H 3:8 76:1	history 20:9	22:8 27:23
9:11	33:23,23 34:14	hand 34:13,14	22:15 25:11	66:2
further 14:19	35:23 36:14	42:21 49:4	hold 6:2,2 15:9	insinuation
54:11 70:20	37:16,19,22,23	handling 10:5	52:1 72:14,15	69:19
71:22 75:8	38:2,7,18,24	60:17	honest 12:11	institutional
G	39:14,17 40:9	happen 12:1	16:5 21:17	23:25
G4:1	41:23 42:16,16	18:5 44:24	25:1,16 36:21	integrity 51:9
Garbuz 1:17	44:7,9,15,19	56:17	42:16,16 49:20	intelligence 7:19
Jaivaz 1.1/	51:9 52:9	JU.1/	53:4 68:14	intelligence /.19
			l	

Page 8	ے
--------	---

				Page 63
interested 75:10	т	July 17:2 74:12	48:4,4,11 50:7	24:25 47:5,8
internal 17:20	J	June 7:2,2 17:2	50:18,18,24	53:18,21,22
17:21 18:9	January 16:25	June 7.2,2 17.2	51:2,2,3,8,17	54:9
20:1 22:13	17:6 18:18	K	51:18 52:6,17	lay 29:7
23:23 61:6	41:12,15 47:20	keep 38:2 52:9	52:19,23 53:2	lead 20:6,12
64:13 65:10	56:4 64:24	53:2 54:15	53:3,25 54:23	leadership 23:1
67:15,17	Jessica 1:6 4:16	68:5	54:25 55:2	leave 33:18
interrupt 6:4	4:17 13:8	Kevin 39:18	56:2,8,12,17	left 27:18
interrupting	15:20 16:23 17:13,16 20:1	40:6,10 42:10	56:20,21,21	lengthy 15:18
52:9	20:5,21 22:11	KEY 2:3	57:10,24 58:6	59:24
inventoried	23:17 25:4,12	kind 10:16 13:24	58:7,8,15,24	Lester 1:13 3:3
18:10	26:10,25 27:9	13:24 14:18	58:25 59:1	4:4,10,14 30:3
inventory 17:22	27:9,10 28:6	16:11 20:5	60:3,4,6,6,17	30:24 54:15
investigation 8:2	28:11,13,17	25:6,8 33:21	61:6,16,22,23	74:5 75:6 76:4
18:2	29:2 30:9 31:2	42:5 49:23	62:6 63:5	76:20 77:2,5
involved 9:4	31:14 32:1,21	50:4 64:18	64:16 65:3,4	let's 8:14 29:25
10:15 18:15	33:22,23 35:2	67:23 68:2	66:16,18,22	30:3 37:10
20:15 25:7	39:9,24 41:20	70:5	67:3,6,11,14	41:1 49:7,8,12
30:14,16 36:10	43:25 46:15	knew 29:7 56:13	67:23,25 68:14	letter 44:22
36:11 45:21	47:1,11,25	know 4:17 12:13	68:16 69:8,16	45:22 46:1
50:20 51:24	48:8,10,17,17	13:12,14,15,15	69:18,21,22	77:6,9
65:4 66:17,22	48:21 49:1,19	13:17,17,19,21	70:2,4,6,14,15	level 13:22 68:3
66:25 67:6,14	49:21,22 50:11	13:22,25 14:2	70:16,17,17	lieu 66:20
71:2,6,9,11	50:16 51:2,3	14:2,3,17,21	72:6,6	Lieutenant
involvement	51:25 52:16	15:17,21 16:3	Knowing 56:8	19:18,25 27:7
17:12 18:11	53:1 54:8,19	16:7,9 18:12	knowledge	41:5,8 48:18
42:8 51:8,11	55:18,21,25	20:8 21:4,15	23:25 36:12	59:5 60:22
53:13 71:14	56:15,24 57:7	21:17,18,19	58:2	61:10 64:8,22
involving 10:16	57:16,18 65:24	22:7,13,16	knowledgeable	68:21 69:1,4
12:20 66:15	66:12 67:11,14	23:11,13,14,18	23:25	Lieutenants
issue 10:17	68:7,10,11,13	24:1,1,2,5 25:1	known 66:4	69:10
12:12,12 22:13	68:16 69:19,24	25:2,8,9,10,11		life 14:21
22:16 23:16,17	70:4	25:15,16,17,18	$\frac{L}{L_{2.0.15}}$	light 68:1
29:24 30:17	Jessica's 15:3	25:21,22,25	L 2:8,15	line 28:16
34:13,14 49:4	16:20 18:11	26:1,3 27:16	labor 8:24,25	list 14:8
55:25 72:24	32:8 40:14	28:15 29:17	9:4 11:16 31:4	listed 73:7
issues 8:25 9:1	45:11,22 46:1	30:11 31:1,6	language 34:18	listen 30:25 51:6
9:12,20 10:2	47:19 54:1	32:1 34:6,18	67:2,4	52:25
11:24 12:16	65:19 66:11,12	35:18,20,21,22	LAUDERDA	little 64:21
14:4 18:12	69:14,16 70:14	36:3,3,4 37:6	2:9	lock 21:11
20:4 22:10	71:3	42:24,25 43:25	law 2:2,8 7:3	locker 17:24
23:18 31:5	job 68:5	44:1 45:14,15	9:24 24:2	18:10
49:21 55:18	Jones 17:7 19:24	45:16,17 46:9	lawsuit 4:16	Lodge 8:10
65:25 66:23	36:25 37:2	46:18,18,22,22	14:23 15:3,11	long 7:1 11:2
69:9	judge 33:17	47:4,15,18	lawyer 22:6 23:5	22:2 37:19,24
<u> </u>				

Page	84
	-

				Tage 04
longer 38:13	18:17,20,22	10:21	30:18	14:15,20,22,25
look 15:3 62:6	19:3,4,6,8,15	memorable 16:1	moved 14:21	14:25 15:10,19
looked 58:16	19:23 20:11,12	mention 49:16		16:6,16 48:9
60:23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	52:24	15:19,21	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	20:14,20,22,24		multifaceted	49:1,2,19,21
looking 47:10	21:2,9,21 22:5	mentioned 19:15	9:10	50:11,16 51:3
lot 9:9 23:25	22:9,17,22,24	49:17 55:17	multiple 18:11	51:25 52:16
49:21,22 69:6	23:1,4 24:7,8,9	70:2	multitude 7:21	53:1
lunch 37:16,23	24:11,16,17,24	mentioning 43:5	9:25 66:22	night 27:10
38:5	25:2,10,13	57:3	MUNICIPAL	28:18
	26:7,8,10,10	met 66:3	1:9	nine 8:6
main 9:10	26:13 27:1,6	Miami 1:4,8 2:4	mute 28:25,25	nodding 6:7
	28:9,10,20	4:15 6:23 9:9	N	non-managem
making 33:2,16 42:7	30:18,19,23	15:12 58:12	$\frac{1}{N}$ 2:15 3:1 4:1	5:12
	32:9 34:1,5,11	69:6 76:3 77:4	name 42:9 76:4	normally 48:15
man 18:1,6 53:2	34:12,14,21,22	Michael 2:8 4:15		69:4
management 5:7	34:22 41:12,19	37:15,20 62:12	name's 4:14	NORTHEAST
5:9 8:18,22,22 8:25 9:5 11:16	43:11,13 44:1	72:25	nature 57:19 70:16	2:9
8:25 9:5 11:16 12:4 31:5	45:6,7,9 46:8,9	Milan 39:18		Notary 1:18
71:17,20	46:10,11,12,12	40:10 42:10	navigate 9:22	74:4,11
,	47:20,25 48:2	Milan's 40:7	necessarily 9:22	notes 75:7
manager 71:14	48:7,12,14,16	mill 45:16	15:20 46:22	notified 18:19
71:15	48:20,22 49:1	mind 40:13	62:7 67:8	19:2 22:9,21
March 1:14 74:6	49:11 50:3	42:24	72:19 73:3	22:22 24:11
74:8 76:4	51:1 54:9,20	mindset 34:10	necessary 76:17	58:9,10
mark 72:20 73:1	55:4,20,23,24	34:10	need 6:13 14:3	number 3:10
73:3,4,11,13	56:3,16,18,20	mine 13:19	19:9 34:5	39:4 73:8
marked 17:22	56:25 57:7,15	Mini 72:12	37:16,22 38:5	0
39:4 63:2	57:17,23,24	minute 25:7	42:25 63:9,19	
72:18,22 73:9	58:3,10 64:24	34:12 36:15	64:1 66:3	O 2:15 4:1
marking 39:1	65:23 71:15	minutes 38:6,18	73:10,13	O-301 2:3
72:23	meetings 8:25	misconduct	needed 11:14	OATH 74:1
married 13:18	9:5 11:15,17	58:13 60:20	20:20 21:21	object 33:18
matter 4:5 50:18	12:4,4,14,18	61:5 63:7,21	needs 59:10	67:13 68:22
mean 24:13	12:20,23 57:13	64:15,23	negative 56:23	69:2 70:1,11
46:22 58:2,2	67:8	missed 58:11	57:3	objection 6:18
58:15 59:14	MELKINS@	mistaken 11:4	negotiated 36:7	9:14 15:5,13
70:9	2:10	14:8 21:3	negotiations	23:7 29:13
means 30:11	member 8:9,11	30:20 48:9	71:2,6	31:9,21 32:16
37:23,25 43:17	12:21 13:5	49:6	never 13:20,20	32:24 33:9
meet 28:1,2,22	23:20 31:2	misunderstood	14:6 30:14	35:7,13 43:20
28:22 29:8,12	46:11	52:20	36:24 37:2	44:25 46:4
29:18 31:25	members 9:2,8	MLE 2:8	40:16,16 43:11	47:21 55:5,11
meeting 13:4,14	20:2 22:14	moment 30:5	53:17,21 54:4	56:5 57:1,8
17:6,9,10,11	membership	41:1	68:10,10,11,15	58:23 59:12
17:15,19 18:13	9:11 10:9,11	months 8:6	Nick 13:18	63:11 64:3
	-	-	-	-

Page	85
	~ ~

				Page 85
67.1.01.66.10		1 20 12 21 72 22		1,50,00,10
65:1,21 66:13	32:18 34:12	38:12,21 73:22	54:19,22,24	17:21 20:13
68:8	35:9 36:16	page 3:2,9 39:15	penalties 76:18	26:19,20 27:10
objections 33:17	37:3,10,18	40:2	people 5:23	27:22 28:23
obviously 4:19	38:4,11,16,20	PAGE/LINE	16:10 23:13	29:4,6,16
8:17 13:13,18	38:22,24,25	76:6	26:5 37:6	34:16,21,25
14:20,20 15:21	39:7,14,17,22	pages 75:6	44:17 51:23,24	35:17 36:8
19:8 21:3	40:1,17 42:9	PALM 75:3	52:10,17,25,25	37:16 38:7
22:12 25:10,20	43:22,24 45:5	Paragraph	56:10	42:7,18 43:12
29:18 32:23	45:25 46:8,15	40:21	Perfect 6:22 8:8	46:10 48:14
33:6 34:15	47:13 49:9,17	parameters 28:7	13:7 16:19	51:5 56:19
48:12 55:20,21	52:4,21 53:6	43:8	38:1,22 53:23	59:16 60:6,18
60:22 61:20	53:24 57:21	part 10:8,8,20	62:11	61:2 66:24
66:4 67:1 69:8	58:19,20 64:5	12:20 17:5	period 16:9	69:21
occasion 12:19	65:13,17 70:25	23:13 29:22	59:20 60:11	police 7:7,12,12
14:5	72:11,14 73:15	30:17,17 35:24	perjury 76:18	8:9,17,23 17:7
occur 25:25	once 32:20,21	36:2 39:22	person 19:4,5	19:23 31:5
49:11	33:5 43:10	40:14,18 46:25	20:6 22:18	40:22 41:24
occurred 16:25	77:12	47:6,9,14 50:4	24:4 36:1 50:8	46:13 47:20
25:24 41:12	opinion 68:13	53:11 56:21	50:19 51:20	48:16 58:13
48:23	opinionated	58:11 59:3	55:22	64:15 68:7
odd 22:3	68:12	partake 11:16	personal 57:15	69:5,6 70:10
offers 10:20	opportunity	57:10 59:3	70:17	70:12
office 17:10,18	44:23 48:17	partaking 56:11	personally 12:19	policies 24:1
20:14 21:5	72:8	65:22	18:21 23:16	policy 24:3
23:20 26:9,16	opposing 6:17	partially 9:18	56:17 57:16	position 10:25
77:7	Order 8:9	participate 12:3	74:5	19:6
officer 31:6	ordering 72:12	particular 13:13	personnel 61:3	possibility 69:9
46:13,20 47:20	77:12,12	27:10 48:1	66:25 69:8	possible 28:4
officers 8:19,19	organization 9:3	59:19	pertains 21:8	potential 12:5
27:12	11:19 13:13	particulars	25:24	12:20 32:22
official 27:1	original 34:21	48:14	phone 50:7,19	33:6
59:16	77:11	parties 2:18 75:8	piece 64:10	powers 56:18
oftentimes 10:15	outside 13:21	77:12	place 1:16 17:6	practice 24:2
31:15	oversee 7:19	parties' 75:9	20:14,23 21:21	practices 9:24
oh 14:16 62:6	overtime 27:9	partner 8:23	24:9,12,16	pre-meeting
Okay 4:22 5:14	Ozaeta 17:8	parts 9:22	26:10 33:21	26:25
5:17,20 6:15	18:20,20 19:9	party 40:14,18	placed 67:11	predeterminat
6:16,17,22 7:8	19:11 21:13	77:12	PLAINTIFF 1:7	29:22 30:1
7:16,25 8:3,8	23:12 24:18,19	patrol 8:3,5	2:5	31:16 32:14,20
9:7 10:20,24	37:7 54:19,22	Paul 17:8 18:20	plan 8:23 38:10	34:20 35:4,10
11:2,9 13:7,11	54:24	18:20 19:9,11	please 33:14	43:5,12,18
16:4,15,19,23	P	20:3,7,17 21:3	54:16 55:14	59:22,23
17:5,11 21:24	P 2:15 4:1	21:5,13,15,16	77:7	presence 50:13
24:22 26:5	P.M 1:15,15	22:22 24:11,18	PLLC 2:2	present 13:5
31:13,24 32:8	1.171 1.13,13	24:19 37:7	point 6:12 17:16	18:9 19:14
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Page	86

				Page 86
21:9 23:18,22	71:10,12	54:15	62:16	52:7,15,17
24:13,23 25:5	professional	quickly 5:19 6:1	recollection 11:5	53:15 73:5
27:21 35:20	14:2	quote 42:23	61:4	REMOTE 1:16
50:6,15 55:1	progressive	60:25	record 16:6 43:2	rep 23:24 24:4
presidency 12:2	65:25 66:1	00.23	75:7	Repeat 15:8
president 11:1,7	promoted 7:14	R	recorded 57:23	68:23
11:8,10,12,14	7:15 8:6 14:7,7	R 4:1 76:1,1	57:24,25 58:3	report 64:14
11:15,18,21,21	14:8 68:17	rank 7:11,12	58:7,9,11	75:5
11:22,23,25	proper 14:1,1	ranking 46:20	recording 58:1,5	reported 1:17
12:2,8,8,9,15	22:19	reached 20:7	Redirect 3:6	65:22
19:12,16,17	protect 9:11	read 32:6 54:4	70:24	reporter 1:17
20:10 22:3,4,7	protect 9.11 protected 8:20	72:2,8,10,11	reference 17:17	4:3,9 5:21 6:6
23:2,2,3,12	protecting 10:22	72:23,25 76:18	18:1,1	44:17 52:10
24:24 31:3,4	protecting 10.22 protection 7:21	77:7	referring 25:14	72:2,14,17,25
36:3,5 40:11	protection 7.21	reading 2:19	29:9 49:1	73:6,15 75:5
40:19 42:10	proud 8.11 provide 52:5	77:18	regard 77:10	75:15 77:16
	provided 28:24	ready 62:17		REPORTER'S
55:21 67:1,5 presume 4:23	•	really 49:20,20	regarding 13:4 46:16	75:1
6:15 7:8	providing 52:18	49:22 50:1,5		· -
	provision 31:15 Public 1:18 74:4	64:18 67:6	Reginald 1:13 3:3 4:10 74:5	represent 4:15 5:4 10:11
pretty 13:19,19 30:19 50:3		70:13		22:15 32:8
	74:11	reason 9:19 16:8	75:5 76:4,20	
previously 54:18 55:17	pull 30:22 62:9	17:18 19:10	77:2,5 REGINALDL	39:7,18 40:6
Prieto 19:25	pulled 61:23	32:13 44:1	77:3	representation 13:6 22:19
	pushed 25:6 34:12	46:1 62:22		
36:22,24 48:2 48:22 58:6		72:9 76:6	related 17:13 relates 32:23	representative 9:8 21:8 27:5
59:5	put 13:24,25 19:6 27:8 43:2	reasonable		57:13
		77:10	relating 54:1 71:7	
prior 7:3 11:6	60:19 67:2,19	recall 15:24,24		represented 20:1
17:11,15 18:3 18:13 29:8	0	16:2 17:9,10	relation 14:22	
	question 5:25	17:25 18:2,5,6	31:5	representing
30:18,20 45:9	6:3,9,13,14,15	18:14,19 19:20	relationship	10:8,9
56:24 57:6	6:19 10:7 15:8	21:14,20,23	14:3 49:25	reps 23:24
66:11,12	20:6 22:25	27:12,21 30:2	69:16	request 19:9
probably 38:18	23:15 30:25	36:20 41:16	relationships	20:13,16,18,18
56:19	33:3,4,14	44:3 45:12,12	13:25 70:14	20:19,21,22,25
probationary	52:11 55:7	45:13 46:14	relative 75:8,9	21:12,15,16,19
8:6	61:18 64:18	47:12,12,14	relieved 17:16	22:6 23:5,5
problem 10:17	68:23 69:11	49:13,15 50:8	remember 13:14	25:4,12,13,15
29:19	72:5	50:12 51:14,25	14:24 17:17,20	25:21 51:5
Procedure 77:21	questioned 48:2	54:8 64:9	17:21,23 21:7	requested 20:7
77:21	questions 13:15	receipt 77:9	21:16 22:1,2	75:6
procedures 24:1	38:15 48:21	receive 4:19	26:15,21,22	requesting 56:15
proceed 4:9	70:21,22	received 77:12	27:3,5,14,22	required 12:18
process 60:13,20	quick 30:19	Recess 37:14	37:3 44:16	13:3
64:6 65:9 67:9	quick 50.17	ILLUCIS J / . IT	47:24 49:19	requirement
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	0	l Reporting.		

Page 8	
--------	--

				Page 8/
32:13	49:3,10,14	save 21.15 20.9	13:12 30:5	77:7,7
research 30:13	50:17 52:8,8	says 31:15 39:8 40:21 41:24	37:21 38:24	signature 40:7
reserved 2:20	54:12 57:15	40:21 41:24	54:15 56:1	42:8
resignation	58:12 59:15	scenario 21:6	60:22 61:7	signatures 39:15
44:22 45:22	60:5 61:4,19	44:14 50:2	64:7 68:7,14	signed 28:6 32:9
46:2	61:24 62:8,8	58:25 60:6	68:17,18,20,25	39:19 40:10,19
resigning 66:20	62:10,12,13,15	64:16	70:23 71:18,24	58:22 59:7,11
resigning 66:20 resolve 22:17	62:17,21 63:8	scenarios 66:15	72:3,5 73:17	59:15,25 60:9
	64:21 65:15,24	schedule 24:14	serious 23:23	•
respect 15:21 27:24	70:20 71:19	scheduled 30:19	29:17	60:11 61:2,5 74:8
respectfully	rights 8:18,19	38:11	seriousness	74:8 signing 2:19
13:25 28:7	9:11 10:9,18	scored 14:9	56:14	77:18
	10:22 31:17,18		service 71:24	signs 33:5
respective 2:18	32:11 33:8	screen 62:21,23 65:16	service /1:24 sessions 12:10	0
responding 17:20	35:4 46:13		set 69:5	simple 8:17 30:25
response 34:1	47:20 48:3,11	scroll 39:14,17 40:9	set 69:5 setting 53:15	simply 63:25
response 34:1 responsibilities	49:11	second 11:6,8,10	settled 36:7	sin 4:21 5:8,16
7:17	role 7:18 8:13	11:12,19,20,22	Settlement 3:10	8:11 10:5
responsibility	10:8 11:2,3,18	11:12,19,20,22	39:8,19,22	21:23 24:17
10:4	12:2,19	19:16 23:3	40:18 71:3	25:11 26:4
rest 25:10	room 27:16,17	24:23 31:4	settling 67:17	30:4,6 32:15
result 67:16	27:17,18,19,24	38:25 55:21	setting 67:17 severity 67:21	37:13 39:3,21
retaliating 46:2	28:12 45:9	67:5 69:15	67:22	40:3,5 41:4,17
retaliating 40:2	56:19	see 38:3,17 39:1	Sgt 1:13 3:3 4:10	42:7 53:21
retaliatory	rule 5:21 77:21	39:10,14,18,20	74:5 75:5 76:4	54:17 55:2
16:25	77:22	39:10,14,18,20	76:20 77:2,5	56:2,12 58:17
review 34:17	rules 5:18 77:10	40:9,21,23	shaking 6:8	59:7 62:11,18
42:5,6 64:8	runes 3:18 //:10 rumor 45:16	41:2 42:1,6,7,8	Shame 34:10	63:4 71:5,8
67:3 75:6 77:8	70:5,9	42:9 63:3,4,16	share 62:21,22	sit 12:14
77:9	rush 38:13	64:9 67:25	share 62.21,22 sharing 65:15	sitting 37:3
reviewed 66:5	1 4311 50.15	73:19	shift 27:9 68:16	47:15 53:17,24
Richard 37:7	S	seen 62:2	68:18	54:7
54:18,22,24	S 2:15,15 3:8 4:1	send 61:10	shifts 68:21 69:8	situation 13:13
59:6	76:1	sense 6:4	show 36:14	16:14 28:10
Rick 19:23	Salabarria 4:17	sent 50:3 55:20	38:24 41:23	30:14 34:15
right 5:3,6 10:13	13:9 42:5	61:11,12	61:15	42:21 48:15
12:17 19:1,22	Salabarria's	sentiment 56:23	showed 24:9,16	59:19 60:3
19:22,22,22	30:10	separate 71:9	25:9 61:23	66:12
22:25 23:22	sat 12:22 48:12	separated 46:15	71:3	situations 10:5
25:5 28:7,7	saying 15:2 19:9	47:1,11	showing 38:25	20:9 42:20
29:12,20 33:10	19:20 26:1	separation 16:24		SMITH 2:2
34:2,4 37:11	31:25 44:10,11	46:17 54:1	sick 18:21 21:15	somewhat 11:13
38:25 40:2	44:19 56:16	sergeant 4:14	side 44:24 76:17	sorry 7:13 11:19
41:3,15 42:19	59:14 60:5	5:7 7:12,13,14	sides 44:5 48:17	11:21 18:24
45:6 46:24	64:17 69:20	7:15,16,18	sign 32:21 36:5	20:10 52:9
15.0 10.21		7.12,10,10	Jigii 52.21 50.5	20.10 32.7
	l	l	I	l

Page 88

				Tage 00
57:2 63:24	75:7	29:7 38:12	talks 48:16	69:13 71:23,24
sort 8:21 25:7,19	step 30:3	41:7 43:4,7,22	tell 14:22 15:10	72:1
34:20 67:15	Steve 65:6,7	48:25 52:2	25:11 27:4	thing 14:2 17:15
sounds 18:8	69:20 70:2,14	60:1 61:2 73:1	28:24 33:14,18	18:14 20:9
73:15	Steven 17:8	73:20	36:18,22,25	27:4,4 34:20
SOUTHERN	19:25 64:22		44:2 45:25	48:5 52:18
		suspensions 9:12	48:6 49:7 51:9	
1:3	69:17	_ ·		57:14 65:8
sparked 64:23	stick 33:23,24	swear 4:4	51:10,11 59:6	things 7:21 9:13
speak 36:4	stipulated 2:17	swore 42:16	61:15 62:1	9:25 12:5
speaking 15:2	stone 12:9	sworn 4:11 11:5	telling 33:15	23:12 24:1,2,3
31:1,2,14	stop 24:7,24	74:7	34:9 43:15	25:8 46:21
33:17 61:13,18	33:16 46:11,11		44:20 60:3	48:1,18,19
61:20,21,22	56:3 65:15	-	terminate 32:12	49:22 59:17
special 7:24,24	stopping 25:3	T 2:15,15 3:8	termination	65:18
69:7	story 44:24	76:1,1	9:12 16:24	think 5:1 11:3
specific 61:18	strike 69:14	table 67:19	28:1,2,4,22	14:16,17 17:7
64:22	structure 12:13	tabs 16:6	29:9,12,19	17:14,25 18:19
specifically	19:5	take 5:22 16:1,6	32:1 43:6	18:21,22 19:2
20:25 49:18	stuff 30:22 42:23	20:14,23 21:21	65:20 66:4	19:15,18,21
spending 72:6	subject 42:4,6	24:8,12,16	terminations	20:3,4,20 21:1
spoke 27:14	submitted 58:22	36:1 37:10	10:16 45:19	21:2,14 23:17
staff 10:11	58:25 59:1,2	38:6,21 41:14	terminology	23:18 24:14,19
staffing 8:24	59:11 63:10	41:16,17 42:25	30:11	25:23 26:1,16
69:9	64:1,13	44:17 52:10	terms 13:21,22	26:16,17,17,18
stamp 72:25	subpoena 4:19	62:9 68:3	16:7 19:14	27:17,23 29:1
73:7	5:13 51:10	taken 37:14	25:2 26:23	29:10,16,17
stand 11:14,14	subpoenaed	62:16 77:6	29:16 30:9	33:2 34:19
standard 29:10	4:18 16:12,12	talk 5:24 14:12	35:15,15 51:9	36:9 38:7
29:12 68:20	51:7 53:9,12	14:15 16:16	53:13 57:3,25	41:15 49:3,3
start 8:14 33:25	sued 16:23	28:20 32:19	64:17 68:4,5	50:5 52:14
49:7,12	suggested 77:9	33:22,22 45:11	68:13	55:25 62:5
started 26:13	SUITE 2:3	49:20,22 53:7	TERRACE 2:9	66:18,21 67:16
27:1	supervise 14:5	63:20	testified 4:11	67:18 68:15,17
state 1:18 23:10	supervised 14:6	talked 16:7	68:11	70:23 73:12
74:2,4,11 75:2	14:10 68:10	48:18,19 49:21	testify 23:11,12	thinking 37:15
stated 54:18	supervising	52:17,22,23	42:22,22 56:2	third 11:20
71:15 76:18	27:11 64:7	53:1,6,17,21	56:13 60:4	46:20
statement 33:2	supervisor 5:10	59:22	68:9	thought 34:13
40:16	7:23 8:3,5	talking 5:23	testifying 33:10	three 21:17 53:3
statements 4:5	58:15 60:16	27:2 31:2,14	59:2	three-minute
STATES 1:2	62:5 71:18	33:25 34:7,22	testimony 43:7	37:10
station 17:23	support 25:20	35:10 44:17	thank 4:9 5:2	thrown 25:9
27:12 70:10,13	supposed 27:11	45:7 47:9	38:4,22 55:16	Tim 5:21,22
Statute 77:10	sure 22:14,20,21	51:23 52:10,16	60:23 62:11,25	72:12 73:12
stenographic	24:20 26:18,19	63:21	63:25 65:15	time 1:15 5:1,23
8. ab			00.20 00.10	
	l	<u> </u>	I	I

Page	≥ 8	9
raye	- 0	۲

				Page 89
(10.17.17.0.6	L. 20.6		12222	1 20 12 42 4
6:12,17,17 8:6	trap 29:6	6:11,12,19	13:2 22:25	38:13 43:4
16:9 19:11,24	tried 56:4 69:20	10:1 17:2,4	use 62:4 76:17	47:4 48:10,24
21:23 22:2	trip 53:5	21:11 29:5	usually 11:25	50:24,25 52:2
29:7 31:24	true 23:10 28:15	31:6,13,24	12:6 59:17,20	52:17,19 60:10
35:9 44:18	30:3 35:25	32:14,20 33:4	59:24,25 60:9	62:7,14 65:18
46:10 52:11	36:1 69:22	34:17 35:1,5	60:9,10,11,15	71:23 72:7,16
56:11 58:16	70:3 75:6	35:10 40:7,11	60:15 65:24	72:17 73:8
59:20,24 60:1	76:18	41:23 43:4,16	66:24,25,25	wanted 38:13
60:12 61:17	truly 77:14	44:10,14 52:3	67:5,6,20	43:7,22 44:14
65:5 72:6	trust 20:4 22:10	56:22 62:8	V	44:23 73:1
times 8:22 10:3	55:17	68:4 70:19	V 76:3 77:4	wants 73:11
46:9 48:15	Trustee 12:15	71:18	varies 12:11,12	wasn't 12:17,17
Timofey 1:17	truth 4:5,6,6	understanding	12:16	19:20 24:15
74:4,10 75:5	51:9,10	22:23 44:5	vehicle 17:22	25:7 27:20
75:15 77:16	truthful 53:14	56:24 65:5	18:10	28:4,8,8,15
title 36:8	try 22:12,14,17	understands	vice 11:1,6,8,10	29:20 30:13,16
today 14:13 37:3	46:11 54:15	9:24 33:12,16	11:12,18,20,22	32:19 33:3
47:15 53:17,24	64:21	understood 6:15	11:23,25 19:16	36:1 38:12
54:4,5,7	trying 15:9 29:5	12:25 32:5 36:14 43:7	19:16 22:4,7	43:12 45:24
told 14:17 15:1	29:6 30:24		23:2,3 24:23	50:1 58:9,10
15:16,17,23	52:2 53:2,5	71:13	31:3,4 55:21	59:7,23 70:3
20:19 21:1	64:10,11 65:6	unfair 21:18,25	67:5	71:11 73:1
22:8 24:8,10	67:25 tunnel 68:1	30:23	video 58:1	way 24:5 36:18
24:18,19 25:8 25:23 28:4,6	turn 36:4	unfortunately 12:12 46:21	violate 68:4	Wayne 17:7 19:24 26:13
29:2,4 32:3,3	turned 28:15	union 8:17 9:1,1	violated 8:20	36:25 37:2
36:20 37:4	69:21	9:8,21 10:3,8	47:19 48:3,11	
42:13,15,15,17	twenty 11:3,4	10:10,20,25	violation 28:2	ways 60:17 we'll 37:11
43:11,12,13	twenty 11.3,4 two 5:23 37:6	11:17 12:21	48:23 60:15	38:17 62:15
45:3 51:24	44:17 51:23,24	13:5 19:5	65:25 66:3	72:15
53:8 54:8	52:10,17,24,25	20:10,11 21:9	67:21	we're 31:14
55:19 69:18	type 12:10,13,14	22:6,9,12	violations 28:17	37:19,22,23
tongue 6:2	13:22 23:18	25:13,16 27:5	VS 1:7	46:8
tongue 0.2 top 39:7 61:14	25:2 34:1,20	35:15 36:3,4		weeds 10:1
61:25	34:23 43:11	40:4,14,17	W	weird 16:11
topic 57:11	49:24 59:17	41:20 42:5	wait 24:13,15	went 7:8 16:9
totality 20:7	types 9:13 10:2	48:11 53:25	49:7	48:5 55:23
56:14 70:6		56:11 57:12	waive 72:2,9	56:20 59:16
totally 57:11	U	65:24	77:7,18	60:1,2
touch 65:18	U 2:15	unit 7:19,24 8:2	waived 35:4	weren't 32:18
transcribed 77:7	Uh-huh 39:13	9:9 17:22 61:6	Waiver 77:17	47:5
transcript 72:8	ultimately 45:22	UNITED 1:2	walked 56:19	whoever's 22:15
73:3 75:6,6	ums 6:8	unknown 51:20	want 5:25 8:23	witness 3:2 4:8
76:2 77:6,8,9	understand 5:10	51:22	15:20 18:7	5:4 9:18 31:22
77:11,13,19	5:12,15 6:1,10	unusual 12:25	21:11 25:3	35:14 37:13,19
			27:7,20 36:3	
	-	-	-	-

Page	90

				Page 9	0
27.22 20.1 4	22.2.25.14	45.11.14.46.2	054)522 5226		
37:22 38:1,4	33:2 35:14	45:11,14 46:3	954)523-5326		
55:7,12 57:2,9	38:17 44:12	2021 16:25 17:6	77:7		
57:9,12,17,22	46:21 57:2	18:18 41:12			
58:24 59:13	58:16 61:11,11	2023 11:4,4			
62:18 63:12,16	61:20 62:19	2024 1:14 74:6,8			
64:5 65:3,22	63:7 72:15,17	75:11 76:4			
66:15 67:14	72:23	77:1			
68:9,23 69:3	year 18:5 31:5	2026 74:12			
70:2,12,25	years 7:2 21:17	23rd 41:2,9			
72:1,4,10	53:3	25th 7:15			
73:16,19,21	yield 10:4	26-year 5:1			
word 41:14,16	-	27th 41:9,9			
41:17	Z	28 1:14 76:4			
wording 14:24	Zoom 1:16	284028 74:12			
words 15:2,9,10	18:22,25 19:2	28th 74:6,8			
44:7 60:14	63:6 74:6				
work 6:9 7:3,6		3			
9:2 13:21 14:2	0	3:00 38:12			
24:1 52:10	001250 63:2	30 38:18 77:9			
68:20 69:10		30(e)Florida			
worked 27:9	1	77:21			
68:11,15,17,18	1 3:10 38:21	33131-2433 2:4			
	39:1,4 71:4	33304 2:9			
working 7:4	1-73 75:6	39 3:10			
18:8	1.310(e) 77:22	37 3.10			
worse 22:18	1:22-cv-21004	4			
wouldn't 9:21	1:5 76:3	4 3:4 40:21			
14:9 29:12	1:35 62:15	4th 7:2			
write 60:19 64:7	1:50 1:15 73:22	4t III / . 2			
76:2	112 47:19 49:11	5			
write-up 27:8	12:14 1:15	5 74:12			
28:17,21,21	1212 2:9	520 2:3			
29:18,20,23	15 38:6	54 3:5			
31:25 43:13	15-minute 38:21	343.3			
write-ups 9:13	16 77:1	6			
written 12:9	16th 2:9 75:11	6 8:10			
wrong 17:6 29:6	19th 17:6 18:18	688-2335 2:4			
34:10 44:20		000 2000 2.1			
60:5	41:15 56:4	7			
wrote 27:8	64:24	70 3:6			
	2	786 2:4			
X	<u> </u>				
X 3:1,8	2 73:11	8			
	20 7:2				
Y	20-plus 31:5	9			
yeah 11:4 15:16	2018 7:15	954)401-2608			
16:13 19:1,21	2020 17:2 41:2	2:10			
<u> </u>	I	·	I		



SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ("Agreement") is entered into, by, and between the CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, its elected and appointed officials, its employees, and its insurers, attorneys, or agents of any kind (collectively, the "City"); JESSICA SALABARRIA ("Salabarria") and the FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, WILLIAM NICHOLS LODGE NO. 8 ("FOP") (all collectively, the "Parties").

WHEREAS, Salabarria is employed by the City in its Police Department; and

WHEREAS, the FOP is the exclusive bargaining representative for a bargaining unit of City police employees, including Salabarria; and

WHEREAS, Salabarria is the subject of an on-going Internal Affairs investigation, I.A. Case No. 2020-010 ("the Investigation"); and

WHEREAS, Salabarria has filed an EEOC Charge, EEOC Charge No. 510-2020-04794 ("EEOC Charge"); and

WHEREAS, the Investigation and EEOC charge are all pending and constitute all the charges, investigations and grievances by or on behalf of Salabarria that have been or may be filed as of the Effective Date of this Agreement that have not otherwise been resolved or otherwise achieved finality; and

WHEREAS, the Parties, wish to avoid the burdens of further investigation, litigation and to resolve the disputes between them.

NOW, THEREFORE, intending to be legally bound but without setting precedent, do hereby agree as follows:

- 1. <u>Recitals</u>. The Parties acknowledge and agree that the Recitals above are true to the best of their knowledge and belief and incorporate them as if fully set forth here and that the Recitals are a material inducement for the Parties to enter into this Agreement.
- 2. <u>EEOC Charge Withdrawn With Prejudice and Discipline</u>. Salabarria and the FOP agree that, by executing this Agreement, they will simultaneously withdraw the Charge with prejudice by executing the attached Notice of Withdrawal with Prejudice and immediately filing same with the EEOC. Additionally, as discipline for the matters that are the subject of the Investigation, Salabarria agrees to accept the following:
 - a. A One Hundred and Sixty (160) hour suspension,
 - b. Payback of Eighty-Six (86) total hours, of which Forty-Four (44) Hours is regular time and Twenty-Four (24) hour is overtime. The regular rate is Forty-Four Dollars and 14/100 (\$44.14) for a total of One Thousand Nine Hundred Forty-Two Dollars and 00/100 (\$1,942.16) of regular time. The overtime hourly rate is Sixty-Six Dollars and 21/100 (\$66.21), for a total amount of One

City 001235

1

Thousand Five Hundred Eighty-Nine Dollars and 04/100 (\$1,589.04). Accordingly, the Total Amount due to the City is Three Thousand Five Hundred Thirty-One Dollars and 20/100 (\$3,531.20) ("the Total Amount"). Salabarria can pay the Total Amount via a cashier's check made payable to the City of Miami Beach on or before January 4, 2021. If the City does not receive full payment on or before 5:00 p.m. on January 4, 2021, then the City is authorized to deduct the remaining amounts due from Salabarria's vacation leave bank.

- c. Salabarria will execute the attached Last Chance Agreement, which contains additional provisions. The Last Chance Agreement is incorporated by reference into this Agreement.
- d. Permanent deletion, from all platforms (platforms includes but is not limited to: Apple Podcasts, Stitcher, Spotify, Spotify Podcasts, Google Play Music, Google Podcasts, iHeart Radio, and any other social media and/or electronic platform) the podcast titled: "Cafecitos y Chisme with Nick & Jess."
- e. Salabarria will immediately have a meeting with the Chief of Police wherein she will address the claims made in the Charge, including but not limited to identifying the names of all persons who allegedly engaged in the conduct addressed in the Charge. The refusal to name the persons who have allegedly engaged in the conduct in the Charge shall be grounds for immediate termination, as discussed in the attached Last Chance Agreement. Salabarria shall be entitled to have a Union Representative with her during this meeting.
- f. Release Of Claims, Covenant Not To Sue. Salabarria hereby releases and waives any and all claims of any kind whatsoever against the City that she had, has or may have from the beginning of the world through the date of this Agreement. The claims released include, but are not limited to, any and all claims arising under any federal, state, local or foreign statute or regulation, including, without limitation, those relating to any and all unfair or discriminatory employment practices (for example, employment discrimination based on race, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability or handicap, and harassment of any kind) under the federal Civil Rights Acts of 1866, 1871, 1964 and 1991 (including Title VII), the federal Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), including the Older Workers Benefits Protection Act, the Florida Civil Rights Act, the federal Americans With Disabilities Act, the federal Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, the federal Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, the Florida Wage Discrimination Law, the Florida Wage and Hour laws, Florida and federal statutes regarding "whistleblower" activities, the federal Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993, the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973, the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985 (known as "COBRA"), the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, any other federal and state employment-related statutes and regulations, and any other employment-related local ordinance up to the date of this Agreement. The claims released also include any claims under the United States Constitution, including but not limited to claims arising under the First Amendment or any other claims whatsoever.

The disputes released by Salabarria also include any and all disputes she had, has or may believe to have against the City in contract or at common law, including, but not limited to: breach of oral, written and/or implied contract, breach of an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, wrongful discharge under any theory (including for lack of good cause) in violation of public policy and constructive discharge, intentional and/or negligent infliction of emotional distress, negligent retention and/or supervision, assault, battery, negligence, misrepresentation or fraud of any kind, duress, unfair dealing, breach of fiduciary or other duty, invasion of privacy, defamation, and interference with contract and/or prospective economic advantage up to the date of this Agreement.

Salabarria further covenants and agrees that she will not file a lawsuit or claim of any kind asserting the claims released herein. Salabarria understands that this Agreement does not prohibit participating in an investigation or the filing of a charge with the EEOC or like administrative agency, but she does understand and agree that, not only is she releasing the stated claims, but also is releasing the right to any monetary damages or any relief of any kind from those claims, whether brought by her or on her behalf. Salabarria hereby represents that she has not assigned to any person or entity any rights to the claims released herein.

- g. <u>Effect; Precedent.</u> The Parties agree that Salabarria remains subject to all applicable rules, policies, orders, procedures or regulations of whatever kind, except as may be expressly otherwise provided herein. The Parties agree that the facts underlying this Agreement are unique and that this Agreement does not establish precedent of any kind whatsoever and may not be used in any manner whatsoever in any proceeding, including but not limited to any labor proceeding of any kind, with the exception of any labor proceeding involving Salabarria. The parties further agree that Salabarria's prior settlement agreement may also be used in any labor proceeding involving Salabarria.
- h. <u>Consideration</u>. The consideration for this Agreement is the City's early conclusion of the Investigation. The parties acknowledge that the City could continue the Investigation. The parties further acknowledge that continuing the Investigation would likely be detrimental to Salabarria. Therefore, the City is giving up its right to continue the Investigation in exchange fro Salabarria's agreement to the provisions and terms of this Agreement. The mutual promises, releases, and forbearances recited herein, the adequacy of which is hereby affirmed by the Parties.
- i. <u>Miscellaneous</u>. This Agreement (which includes the exhibits attached hereto that are incorporated by reference), is the entire agreement between the Parties on its subject matter and supersedes any other agreement or understanding whatsoever, whether written or oral. The Parties have entered into this Agreement solely on the basis of the language, representations, and understandings expressed in this Agreement and not on the basis of any other representation or understanding whatsoever. This Agreement shall be construed and applied according to its express language and not strictly against any Party, regardless of authorship. This Agreement shall be governed by and construed according to the laws of the State of Florida. Any dispute arising from this Agreement, its application, or its breach shall be heard by a judge and not a jury. The Parties agree that venue shall be proper in Miami-Dade County, Florida, and agree that they shall not challenge such venue, regardless of convenience. If any provision or part thereof of this Agreement shall be found invalid or unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the Agreement shall remain valid and enforceable, provided, however, that if Paragraph 3, "Release,"

To the same

is found invalid or unenforceable, the entire Agreement shall fail and be null and void and shall be treated as if it were never made. The prevailing party in any action of or relating to this Agreement shall be entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, having read and fully understood this Agreement in its entirety, having duly considered the same, and intending to enjoy the benefits and undertake the obligations established herein, the Parties do hereby enter into and execute this Agreement as set forth below.

CITY OF MIAMI BEACH

JESSICA SALABARRIA

FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, LODGE 8

By Paul J. Aquila
City Manager

City Manager

JESSICA SALABARRIA

KEVIN MILLAN

President

12/23/2020 | 1:34 EST

DATE

12/18/2020

DA

CHIEF OF POLICE

RICK CLEMENTS Chief of Police

LAST CHANCE AGREEMENT

THIS LAST CHANCE AGREEMENT is entered into between the CITY OF MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA (hereinafter, the "City"), FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE, (hereinafter, "the Union") and JESSICA SALABARRIA (hereinafter, "SALABARRIA" or "Employee").

WHEREAS, SALABARRIA is employed by the City as a Police Officer in the City's Police Department.

WHEREAS, SALABARRIA is subject to the terms and conditions of employment contained in the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the Union and the City effective October 1, 2018 through September 30, 2021;

WHEREAS, SALABARRIA is the subject of an Internal Affairs ("IA") Investigation, IA Case Number 2020-010, which arose from SALABARRIA's involvement in not being on-duty when she was supposed to be, and from SALABARRIA's claims of being on-duty in the City when she was actually outside the City;

WHEREAS, the City wishes to continue to employ Employee, Employee wishes to continue to be employed by the City, and the FOP desires for Employee to continue to be employed under the terms and conditions described herein; and

WHEREAS, the Employee admits that she committed misconduct in association with IA Case Number 2020-010 and was in violation of numerous City and Police Department policies and the City Personnel Rules for the Classified Service; and

WHEREAS, the purposes of this Agreement, with which all the Parties concur, include: to protect and preserve the integrity of the Police Department and all its officers and to give Employee the opportunity to further and support that purpose; and to give Employee the

CITY

UNION JESSICA

Page 1 of 7

opportunity to rehabilitate herself personally and as a police sergeant for the City and this

Department; and to give Employee an opportunity to preserve her career.

NOW, THEREFORE, without establishing precedent for any purpose and intending to be

bound, the Parties agree as follows:

1. All of the above statements are true and correct to the best of the Parties' belief

and knowledge and for a five (5) year period beginning with the execution of this Agreement by

all parties, SALABARRIA will be subject to the provisions of this Agreement.

2. During this period, SALABARRIA must be on-duty and in the City limits during

all of her scheduled shifts unless given prior authorization. For the avoidance of confusion, this

means that, during the terms of this Agreement, SALABARRIA shall not be outside the City

limits when she is on-duty, shall not tell the City she's on-duty when she is outside the City's

limits, and shall not leave before the end of her scheduled shift, unless given prior authorization.

3. Additionally, SALABARRIA shall refrain from violating any City or Police

Department policies, rules or regulations; Standard Operating Procedures ("SOPs") or Personnel

Rules, all of which (including any amendments or additions) are incorporated herein by

reference. In any instance during which City or Police Department policies, procedures or

Personnel Rules differ from or conflict with the stipulations set forth in the applicable collective

bargaining agreement, the City or Police Department policies, procedures or Personnel Rules

shall prevail.

. The Chief of Police shall exclusively assess and determine Employee's

compliance with this Agreement. The Chief's decision as to compliance with this Agreement

shall not be subject to any grievance and/or review of any kind by SALABARRIA and/or the

Union and is not subject to explanation or review.

CITY

Page 2 of 7

UNION

JESSICA

City 001241

5. Failure to comply with any portion or requirement of this Agreement (including but not limited to the requirement not to violate any City or Police Department policies, Standard Operating Procedures ("SOPs") or Personnel Rules, as referenced in paragraph 3 above) may result in the immediate implementation of the attached letter of resignation as referenced in paragraph 9 below. It is the intent and understanding of the parties that the violations contemplated to trigger the implementation of the attached letter of resignation shall not be for individual, discreet minor policy and procedural violations. The parties agree that repeated violation of the same, discreet minor policy may result in an event triggering the implementation of the attached letter of resignation. In that event, the Employee and the Union understand and agree there will be no recourse or review available pursuant to any grievance, appeal or review process under any federal, state or local statute, ordinance, collective bargaining agreement, or in any other forum or under any other process or procedure.

- 6. SALABARRIA shall serve a four-week (160 hour) suspension without pay and waive any and all rights to grieve or appeal that suspension. Employee shall also be subject to the additional provisions of the Settlement Agreement to which this Agreement is attached and is made part of via incorporation by reference.
- 7. Further, for the same five (5) year period described above, the Chief of Police shall have full discretion regarding Employee's assignments, including, without limitation, duties, supervisor and chain of command. Employee shall have the ability to bid for shift and days off, if the employee is reassigned her duty hours and days off shall remain the same.
- 8. For a period of one (1) year from the date of execution of this Agreement, Employee is not eligible for any promotional opportunities.

CITY

Page 3 of 7 UNION

JESSICA
City 001242

9. Employee shall sign an irrevocable letter of resignation which shall take effect

immediately upon her violation of this Agreement or any part of it at any time during the term of

this Last Chance Agreement. .

10. Employee shall attend and cooperate with any training required by the Chief of

Police.

11. If during the above-referenced five (5) year period, SALABARRIA violates any

provisions of this agreement or any City or Police Department policies, Standard Operating

Procedures ("SOPs") or Personnel Rules and/or regulations as previously referenced in

paragraph #4, her resignation shall be effective, without the right to grieve or otherwise contest,

in any manner, her separation. .

12. In the event that SALABARRIA is separated pursuant to the terms and conditions

of this Last Chance Agreement, she and the Union understand that her separation is not subject

to appeal pursuant to the contractual grievance/arbitration procedure, or otherwise. In other

words, SALABARRIA agrees that should she be separated pursuant to the terms of this

agreement that she waives her right to utilize the contractual grievance and arbitration procedure

and she further waives the right to challenge or appeal her separation pursuant to any

administrative or statutory avenue that may exist.

13. The City retains the right to rely upon the facts and circumstances of the events

from which this Last Chance Agreement arose in any future proceeding in the event the

Employee successfully meets the terms and conditions referred to in this Agreement but

thereafter has deficiencies in conduct or performance, and such deficiencies shall be sufficient to

warrant discipline, including dismissal.

CITY

Page 4 of 7 UNION

JESSICA City 001243 14. It is understood and agreed by all parties hereto that this Last Chance Agreement is executed based on the particular circumstances of this case and does not establish precedent for the resolution of other cases.

15. SALABARRIA acknowledges that she could be terminated from her employment from the City as a result of her conduct as referenced above and that remaining employed by the City is adequate consideration for entering into this Last Chance Agreement, serving the suspension without pay and waiving the rights described herein and in the Settlement Agreement.

agreed to by the City, and other valuable consideration received from or on behalf of the City, receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, does hereby release, acquit, satisfy and forever discharge the City, as well as each and everyone of the City's former and current officers, agents, attorneys, employees and officials -- in both their official and individual capacities -- and their successors and assigns, from any and all claims, cause and causes of action, grievances, unfair labor practice charges, lawsuits, claims of employment discrimination (including, but not limited to claims under the Americans With Disabilities Act), and any and all other claims and demands whatsoever, in law or in equity, tort or contract, which SALABARRIA has or may have against the above-named individuals in both their individual and official capacities, from the beginning of the world until today, including, but not limited to, all matters concerning or arising out of her employment with the CITY, her discipline stemming from the incidents described in this Last Chance Agreement and the execution of this Last Chance Agreement.

17. It is understood and agreed that this Last Chance Agreement does not constitute an admission by the City or SALABARRIA of any violation of the collective bargaining

CITY

UNION

ity 001244

agreement. This Last Chance Agreement is being entered into by the parties solely for the

purpose of avoiding the expense and inconvenience of further administrative proceedings.

18. SALABARRIA has received and reviewed this Last Chance Agreement prior to

executing it and she agrees to be bound by its terms and conditions.

19. Prior to signing this Last Chance Agreement, SALABARRIA had the

opportunity, and did, in fact, consult with her attorney and/or with the Union.

20. This Last Chance Agreement, and the Settlement Agreement which is attached

constitutes the entire understanding and agreement of the parties hereto, and can be modified,

amended or revoked only by express written consent of all parties.

21. This Last Chance Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance

with the laws of the State of Florida, and where applicable, federal laws. The language of this

Last Chance Agreement shall be construed as a whole, according to its fair meaning, and not

strictly construed for or against either party.

22. In the event that any party to this Last Chance Agreement institutes legal

proceedings regarding the terms of this Last Chance Agreement, it is stipulated and agreed that

such a claim shall be heard and determined by the court, and not by a jury, in Miami-Dade

County, Florida. SALABARRIA AGREES AND UNDERSTANDS THAT SHE IS

WAIVING THE RIGHT TO A JURY TRIAL, IF ONE EXISTS, AS TO ANY CLAIM

REGARDING THE TERMS OF THIS AGREEMENT.

CITY

UNION JESSICA

Page 6 of 7

This Agreement is dated the day of	December 2020, in Miami-Dade County, Florida.
Hote	Paul J. Aquila
JESSICA SALABARRIA	CITY MANAGER CITY OF MIAMI BEACH
Date: 12/18/2020	Date:12/23/2020 1:34 EST
FRATERNAL ORDER OF POLICE	
Signature & Title	
Revin Millan President Print Name & Title	
12/18/2020 Date	
Chief OF POLICE	
12 18 2020 Date	